

Comments at CPAC Meetings and “Hub” Organization Meetings

1. Meeting with Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms CPAC (August 21, 2019)
 - a. More enforcement on establishing the priority of the EJ Element. (CPAC Member)
2. Meeting with North Highlands/Foothill Farms CPAC (August 27, 2019)
 - a. How is the County going to prioritize the policies and implementation measures in the EJ Element? (CPAC Member)
 - b. The County should continue to build its database of contacts and community organizations.
3. Meeting with Vineyard CPAC (September 3, 2019)
 - a. There needs to a way to measure the effectiveness of implementation measures. (CPAC Member) Possible idea – indicators for the Implementation Report Card.
 - b. How to fund continue implementation of the EJ Element – grants are an option. (CPAC Member)
 - c. What is the fiscal impact of EJ Element implementation? (CPAC Member)
4. Meeting with Black Child Legacy of Foothill Farms/North Highlands (August 22, 2019)
 - a. Concern that required produce at convenience stores will be too expensive for low-income households.
5. Meeting with Sacramento Area Congregations Together (September 9, 2019)
 - a. Possible implementation measure: Partner with staff to look for grants for specific plans or community plans.
 - b. There should be use permit and distance requirements for vaping.
 - c. SacACT supports higher densities to produce more affordable housing.
 - d. Pollution exposure measures for development. In the Air Quality Element, measures should include existing development as well as new.
 - e. There is a need for more report back sessions on EJ Element implementation with the stakeholders, in addition to the annual stakeholder meeting. Possible idea – mid-year report back session.
6. Meeting with South Sacramento CPAC (September 19, 2019)
 - a. Proposed implementation Measure EJ-30B (Low Income Weatherization Program) should also apply to rental units. (CPAC Member)

- b. Some day care or congregate care facilities that are further than 500 feet from a high volume roadway or active railroad may need to attain a use permit due to special circumstances (such as being downwind from a freeway). (CPAC Member)
 - c. "Feasible exposure reduction measures" (HE7.1.6) – need to provide some examples. (CPAC Member) Staff proposal – "includes but not limited to..."
 - d. Business improvement districts already have support. (CPAC Member)
 - e. Suggestion to present the 2nd Phase Project to the Human Services Coordinating Council and the Disability Advisory Committee (CPAC Member).
 - f. The CPACs should be included in staff training for environmental justice. (CPAC Member)
7. Meeting with Arden-Arcade CPAC (September 26, 2019)
- a. Concern about minimum shelf space for healthy foods and whether this will reduce the viability of small businesses. (CPAC Member)
 - b. Additional regulations will be a barrier or disincentive to private investment in the community. (CPAC Member)
 - c. Skeptical of whether there is enough funding available to achieve policy goals. (CPAC Member)
 - d. Concern about how consideration would be given to specific areas within West Arden Arcade. For example, some neighborhood streets do not have sidewalks, while others have drainage culverts that might conflict with providing full sidewalks or other bike/pedestrian improvements. (CPAC Member)
 - e. Air pollution affects children and the elderly more than others. The weakness of EJ Element pollution policies is that Arden Arcade is largely built out.
 - f. There should be vehicle idling restrictions at schools.
 - g. Food security/nutrition are issues in the refugee population; large refugee population in Arden Arcade that are likely limited English speaking, which is a barrier to engagement.
 - h. There should be more land available for community gardens (link to refugees' lifestyle).