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### 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Housing Trust Fund ("HTF") Nexus Analysis ("Nexus Analysis") has been prepared by Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. ("KMA") for the County of Sacramento ("County") to provide nexus support for the County's non-residential affordable housing fees established under Chapter 16.89 of the County Code. The County's housing fee was adopted in 1990 to mitigate the impacts of new non-residential development on the need for affordable housing. Fees are deposited into the County's Housing Trust Fund and are used to fund the creation of affordable housing. Non-residential affordable housing fees, like the County's housing fee, are sometimes referred to as "commercial linkage fees."

This Nexus Analysis has been prepared for the limited purpose of determining nexus support for the County's housing fees. The Nexus Analysis quantifies the linkages between new nonresidential buildings, the employees who work in them, and their demand for affordable housing, and calculates maximum supported fee levels based on the cost of mitigating the increased demand for affordable housing consistent with the requirements of the Mitigation Fee Act (Government Code Section 66000 et. seq.). Findings are not recommended fee levels. Fees may be set anywhere up to the maximums identified in this study.

A separate report entitled "Analysis, Context and Recommendations for Updates to Housing Trust Fund Fees" provides a range of analyses to inform consideration of potential modified fee levels.

## Maximum Fee Conclusions of the Nexus Analysis

The maximum fee conclusions of the Nexus Analysis are summarized in Table 1-1. Findings reflect the cost of mitigating affordable housing impacts of new development as documented in the Nexus Analysis. Figures in Table 1-1 represent technical impact analysis findings only and are not recommended fee levels.

Table 1-1. Nexus Analysis Maximum Fee Level Findings
Per Square Foot of Gross Building Area ${ }^{(1)}$

| Building Type | Maximum Fee <br> Per Sq. Ft. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Office | $\$ 47.60$ |
| Medical | $\$ 48.20$ |
| Retail / Commercial | $\$ 69.60$ |
| Hotel | $\$ 16.50$ |
| Industrial / Manufacturing | $\$ 38.90$ |
| Research and Development | $\$ 15.40$ |
| Warehouse | $\$ 16.40$ |
| Residential Care | $\$ 15.10$ |

${ }^{(1)}$ Maximum fee level findings are per square foot of gross building area excluding parking.

The results of the Nexus Analysis are heavily driven by two factors: the density of employees within buildings and the occupational make-up of the workforce. Retail has both high employment density and a high proportion of lower paying jobs, factors that in combination result in the highest affordable housing impacts and maximum fee level conclusions among the eight building types. Warehouse and residential care facilities have a high proportion of lower paying jobs, but a low density of employment, resulting in lower maximum fee level conclusions compared to other land uses.

## Data Sources

This report has been prepared using the best and most recent data available at the time of the analysis. Local data and sources were used wherever possible. Major sources include the U.S. Census Bureau: 2014-2018 American Community Survey, California Employment Development Department and the Bureau of Labor Statistics. While we believe all sources utilized are sufficiently sound and accurate for the purposes of this analysis, we cannot guarantee their accuracy. Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. assumes no liability for information from these and other sources.

### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

This Housing Trust Fund Nexus Analysis has been prepared by Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. to provide updated nexus support for the County's non-residential affordable housing fee program. The Nexus Analysis analyzes the linkages between non-residential development in the County and the need for additional affordable housing and calculates maximum housing fee levels consistent with the Mitigation Fee Act (Government Code Section 66000 et. seq.), which requires a reasonable relationship be established between the fee and impacts of new development addressed by the fee.

The purpose of the Nexus Analysis is to document and quantify the impacts of development of new non-residential buildings and the employees that work in them, on the demand for affordable housing. Because jobs in all buildings cover a range of compensation levels, there are housing needs at all affordability levels. This analysis quantifies the need for affordable housing created by eight categories of new workplace buildings and determines maximum supported fees based on the cost of mitigating the increased affordable housing demand.

### 2.1 Building Types Addressed

This analysis addresses the following eight types of workplace buildings either currently subject to non-residential housing fees or potentially subject to fees in the future:

- Office encompasses the full range of office uses from financial and professional services sectors to medical and dental offices.
- Medical includes hospitals and outpatient medical facilities, but not medical office buildings.
- Retail/commercial includes retail, restaurants, dry cleaners, health clubs and other personal care and service uses that commonly occupy retail space.
- Hotel covers the range from full service hotels to limited service accommodations.
- Industrial/Manufacturing covers a broad range of manufacturing, auto repair and service, and a range of other uses of an industrial or semi-industrial character.
- Research and Development (R\&D) covers facilities for scientific or medial research, product design, prototype production, development and testing.
- Warehouse, or large structures primarily devoted to storage and logistics activities, typically with a small amount of office space.
- Residential Care encompasses a range of residential facilities where care, personal services, protection, supervision, assistance, training, therapy, or treatment is provided to persons living in a community residential setting. This building type encompasses assisted living, nursing homes, memory care, residential treatment centers, congregate care, and similar facilities.


### 2.2 Affordability Levels Addressed

The Nexus Analysis addresses the following three income, or affordability, tiers:

- Extremely Low Income: households earning up to 30\% of Area Median Income (AMI);
- Very Low Income: households earning over $30 \%$ up to $50 \%$ of AMI; and,
- Low Income: households earning over $50 \%$ AMI up to $80 \%$ of AMI.

Households are categorized by income tier based on income limits published by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). For reference, the 2021 median income for a family of four in Sacramento County is $\$ 91,100$. Table 2-1 identifies income limits for all applicable income categories and household sizes.

## Table 2-1. Household Income Limits for Sacramento County, 2021

|  | Household Size (Persons) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Extr. Low (Under 30\% AMI) | $\$ 19,050$ | $\$ 21,800$ | $\$ 24,500$ | $\$ 27,200$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 35,580$ |
| Very Low (30\%-50\% AMI) | $\$ 31,750$ | $\$ 36,250$ | $\$ 40,800$ | $\$ 45,300$ | $\$ 48,950$ | $\$ 52,550$ |
| Low (50\%-80\% AMI) | $\$ 50,750$ | $\$ 58,000$ | $\$ 65,250$ | $\$ 72,500$ | $\$ 78,300$ | $\$ 84,100$ |
| Median (100\% of Median) | $\$ 63,750$ | $\$ 72,900$ | $\$ 82,000$ | $\$ 91,100$ | $\$ 98,400$ | $\$ 105,700$ |

Source: California Department of Housing and Community Development, 2021 Income Limits.

### 2.3 Overview of Methodology

The Nexus Analysis links new non-residential buildings with new workers; these workers demand additional housing, a portion of which needs to be affordable to the workers in lower income households. Following is an overview of the analysis steps used in determining the maximum housing fee levels:

- Employment - The number of employees is estimated for each building type using employment density ratios drawn from a variety of sources.
- Housing Units Required - The number of housing units needed to house the new workforce is estimated based on the average number of workers per working household.
- Worker Household Incomes - Household incomes of workers are estimated by combining data on worker occupations from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, local wage data from the California Employment Development Department (EDD) and local U.S. Census data relating individual worker income to total household income.
- Affordable Housing Need - Worker household incomes are compared to income criteria from HCD to determine the number of housing units needed by affordability level.
- Mitigation Cost and Maximum Fees - The cost of mitigating affordable housing impacts of new development are calculated based on the net subsidy required to deliver the needed affordable housing. Mitigation costs are expressed per square foot of building area for each non-residential building type, which establishes an upper limit on housing fees proportionate to the impacts.


### 2.4 Report Organization

The report is organized into five sections and two appendices, as follows:

- Section 1.0 is the Executive Summary;
- Section 2.0 provides an introduction;
- Section 3.0 presents the Nexus Analysis for the eight workplace building types under study, concluding with the maximum supported affordable housing fee level per square foot of building area.
- Section 4.0 contains the affordability gap analysis representing the net cost of delivering each unit of housing affordable to households at the income levels under study.
- Section 5.0 provides draft findings language consistent with the Mitigation Fee Act.
- Appendix A provides a discussion of various specific factors and assumptions in relation to the nexus concept.
- Appendix B provides supporting information on worker occupations and incomes.


### 3.0 NEXUS ANALYSIS

This section presents a summary of the analysis linking the development of the eight types of workplace buildings to the estimated number of lower income housing units required in each of three income categories. Then, the cost of providing affordable housing to the worker households is determined and expressed per square foot of building area. Findings represent the full mitigation cost for the affordable housing impacts of new development and the ceiling for any affordable housing fee that may be imposed.

### 3.1 Step-by-Step Narrative of Nexus Methodology

The Nexus Analysis is conducted using a methodology KMA developed for application in many jurisdictions for which the firm has conducted similar nexus analyses in support of affordable housing impact fee programs. Analysis inputs are all local data to the extent possible and are fully documented. The methodology is conceptually the same as prior nexus analyses prepared by KMA to support the County's non-residential housing fee program.

The analysis uses an assumed 100,000 square foot building size. Selection of this building size enables the number of jobs and housing units to be presented in whole numbers that can be more readily understood. At the conclusion of the analysis, findings are divided by the building size to express the linkages on a per square foot basis so that findings can be applied to buildings of any size.

Following is a description of each step of the analysis:

## Step 1 - Estimated Number of Employees

The number of employees who will work in the building types being analyzed is estimated using employment density factors drawn from a variety of sources. Sources include local Environmental Impact Reports (EIRs), Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and other sources as noted in the discussion below. Employment estimates are summarized in Table 3-1 followed by a narrative discussion.

| Table 3-1. Employment <br> for Prototypical 100,000 Square Foot <br> Employment Density <br> (Gross Square Feet Per <br> Employee) | Number of Employees per <br> 100,000 <br> $(=100,000$ square <br> E feet of bployment Density) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type | 300 | 333 |
| Office | 300 | 333 |
| Medical | 500 | 200 |
| Retail / Commercial | 2,000 | 50 |
| Hotel | 500 | 200 |
| Industrial / Manufacturing | 400 | 250 |
| Research and Development | 2,000 | 50 |
| Warehouse | 2,000 | 50 |
| Residential Care |  |  |

- Office - 300 square feet per employee. The estimate is based on several sources, including the County's parking requirement for new office space, recent Environmental Impact Reports ("EIRs") addressing office developments in Sacramento County, and the ITE Trip Generation Manual.
- Medical - 300 square feet per employee. This estimate is based on recent EIRs for two proposed hospitals in Sacramento County - Kaiser Permanente Medical Center in the City of Sacramento and Cal Northstate Hospital in Elk Grove.
- Retail / Commercial - 500 square feet per employee. The employment density estimate for retail reflects consideration of a range of sources including the ITE Trip Generation Manual, and restaurant employment densities derived from National Association of Restaurants data. The density range within this category is wide, with some types of retail such as restaurant space as much as five times as dense as other types such as furniture or building material supply stores. The estimate used is at the low end of the range of sources considered and will tend to understate the number of employees relative to many types of retail.
- Hotel - 2,000 square feet per employee. Hotels have a range of employment levels with higher service hotels with conference facilities being more employment intensive and minimal service extended stay hotels representing the lower end of the employment density range. The estimate of 2,000 square feet per employee is approximately equivalent to 0.3 employees per room and an average of 600 square feet of building area per room. This estimate is based on employment levels for twelve local hotels reported in the Sacramento Business Journal averaging 0.35 employees per room with a median of 0.27 employees per room ${ }^{1}$.

[^0]- Industrial/Manufacturing - 500 square feet per employee. This density covers flex space, light industrial and manufacturing activities. The 500 square feet per employee average is based on estimates from the ITE Trip Generation Manual.
- Research and Development (R\&D) - 400 square feet per employee. The estimated employment density is based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual.
- Warehouse $-2,000$ square feet per employee. This reflects that the primary activity in the building is assumed to be storage or logistics. A small amount of office or administrative space is assumed within warehouse structures. Sources consulted include ITE, a Portland Metro Employment Density Study, U.S. Department of Energy, and parking ratios reflected in recent and pipeline warehouse projects in Sacramento County.
- Residential Care - 2,000 square feet per employee. The employment density estimate is based on four residential care facilities in the Sacramento region, including Summerplace Living (West Sacramento), Heritage Park (Sacramento), Carefree Assisted Living (Sacramento County), and Edison Avenue Congregate Care (Sacramento County).

This Nexus Analysis was prepared during the coronavirus pandemic, which could have implications regarding the density of employment in workplace buildings. Potential effects can be separated into short-term, during the pandemic, and longer-term, post-pandemic. As the Nexus Analysis determines mitigation costs over the life of new buildings, long-term effects are pertinent while short-term or temporary changes in response to the pandemic would not warrant an adjustment.

The experience adapting to remote working during the coronavirus pandemic has led some businesses to plan for remote work as a larger part of their operations post-pandemic. A trend toward remote work would be expected to reduce demand for new commercial buildings overall but does not necessarily reduce the impacts of commercial buildings that are built. A second potential long-term adjustment resulting from the pandemic is reduced employment density, as employers make modifications to office layouts that increase the distance and physical separation between employees. This potential effect is likely most relevant for office building users that had transitioned to higher employment density office configurations. Office employment density estimates used in the analysis are more representative of traditional office layouts that have a mix of private offices and cubicles than higher employment density layouts like "benching" where employees work side-by-side with no partitions or cubicles separating them. As high employment density office configurations are not assumed, a downward adjustment in consideration of a possible reversal of trends toward higher density of employment within offices is not warranted.

## Step 2 - Net New Employment After Adjustment for Changing Industries

This step makes an adjustment to employment estimates to take into account any declines, changes and shifts within all sectors of the economy and to recognize that new space is not always $100 \%$ equivalent to net new employees.

The local economy, like that of the U.S. as a whole, is constantly evolving, with job losses in some sectors and job growth in others. Over the past decade, employment in Sacramento County declined in telecommunications, finance and insurance, accommodations, restaurants, durable goods manufacturing, government, and other services. Jobs lost in these declining sectors were replaced by job growth in other industry sectors.

The analysis makes an adjustment to take these declines, changes and shifts within all sectors of the economy into account, recognizing that jobs added are not $100 \%$ net new in all cases. A $20 \%$ adjustment is utilized based on the long-term shifts in employment that have occurred in some sectors of the local economy over the last decade and the likelihood of continuing changes in the future. Long term declines in employment experienced in some sectors of the economy mean that some of the new jobs are being filled by workers that have been displaced from another industry and who are presumed to already have housing locally. The analysis assumes that existing workers downsized from declining industries are available to fill a portion of jobs in new workplace buildings.

The 20\% downward adjustment was derived from California Employment Development Department data on employment by industry in the Sacramento-Roseville-Arden-Arcade MSA. Over the approximately ten-year period from March 2010 to June $2020^{2}$, approximately 20,400 jobs were lost in declining industry sectors. Over the same period, growing and stable industries added a total of 118,700 jobs. The ratio between jobs lost in declining industries to jobs gained in growing and stable industries is $17 \%$, which is rounded up to $20 \%$ for the purposes of the analysis. The assumption is that $20 \%$ of new jobs are filled by a worker down-sized from a declining industry who already lives locally.

The discount for changing industries represents a conservative assumption because many displaced workers may exit the workforce entirely by retiring. Some of the job losses over the past decade are likely temporary losses due to the coronavirus pandemic, particularly in restaurants and hotels which have been particularly affected; however, for purposes of the analysis the conservative assumption is made that these losses are permanent. In addition, development of new workspace buildings will typically occur only to the extent there is positive net demand after re-occupancy of buildings vacated by businesses in declining sectors of the economy. To the extent existing buildings are re-occupied, the discount for changing industries is unnecessary

[^1]because new buildings would represent net new growth in employment. The 20\% adjustment is conservative in that it is mainly necessary to cover a special case in which buildings vacated by declining industries cannot be readily occupied by other users due to their special purpose nature, because of obsolescence, or because they are torn down or converted to residential.

Step two is illustrated in Table 3-2.

| Table 3-2. Net New Jobs |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Employees <br> per 100,000 square feet <br> (Table 3-1) | Net New Employees after 20\% <br> Declining Industries Adjustment <br> per 100,000 square feet |
| Building Type | 333 | 267 |
| Office | 333 | 267 |
| Medical | 200 | 160 |
| Retail / Commercial | 50 | 40 |
| Hotel | 200 | 160 |
| Industrial / Manufacturing | 250 | 200 |
| Research and Development | 50 | 40 |
| Warehouse | 50 | 40 |
| Residential Care |  |  |

## Step 3 - Adjustment from Employees to Employee Households

This step converts the number of employees to the number of employee households, recognizing that that there is, on average, more than one worker per household, and thus the number of housing units needed for new workers is less than the number of new workers. The workers-per-worker-household ratio eliminates from the equation all non-working households, such as retired persons and students.

According to the 2014-2018 American Community Survey, the number of workers per worker household for Sacramento County is 1.68 including full- and part-time workers ${ }^{3}$. The total number of jobs created is divided by the 1.68 workers per worker household factor to determine the number of housing units that are needed to house the new workforce. Step three is illustrated in Table 3-3.

[^2]Table 3-3. Number of Housing Units Needed

| Building Type | Net New Jobs per 100,000 square feet (Table 3-2) | Number of Worker Households / Housing Units Needed per 100,000 square feet (= net new jobs / 1.68 workers per worker household) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | 267 | 158.3 |
| Medical | 267 | 158.3 |
| Retail / Commercial | 160 | 95.0 |
| Hotel | 40 | 23.7 |
| Industrial / Manufacturing | 160 | 95.0 |
| Research and Development | 200 | 118.7 |
| Warehouse | 40 | 23.7 |
| Residential Care | 40 | 23.7 |

## Step 4 - Occupational Distribution of Employees

Estimating the occupational breakdown of employees is the first step to arrive at income levels. The occupational make up of jobs by building type is estimated by combining two data sources: Bureau of Labor Statistics data on the distribution of occupations by industry category and data on employment by industry for Sacramento County from the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW). Industry categories are weighted to reflect the mix of employers in Sacramento County.

- For office buildings, the mix of industries reflects a wide range of financial, professional service, technology, and medical office.
- For medical, industries include outpatient care centers, diagnostic labs, hospitals, and skilled nursing facilities.
- For retail / commercial, a wide range of retail categories are included as well as restaurants and personal services.
- For hotels, the applicable industry sector is Traveler Accommodation. An adjustment is made to remove casino hotels.
- The industrial / manufacturing category encompasses a range of manufacturing, wholesalers, and automotive and other maintenance and repair services.
- Research and Development reflects the industry category for research and development in the physical, engineering and life sciences.
- For warehouse, the applicable industry category is Warehouse \& Storage.
- For residential care, the industry category for continuing care retirement communities and assisted living facilities is used.

This step results in a distribution of workers by occupation category for the eight building types. Appendix B Table 17 identifies the specific industry codes utilized by building type. Table 3-4 indicates the percentage distribution by occupation.

|  | Office | Medical | Retail/ Comm'l | Hotel | Industrial | R\&D | Warehouse | Residential Care |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management Occupations | 9.9\% | 4.4\% | 2.6\% | 4.5\% | 9.9\% | 15.4\% | 2.7\% | 3.5\% |
| Business and Financial | 14.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.7\% | 1.6\% | 6.9\% | 10.1\% | 2.2\% | 1.0\% |
| Computer and Mathematical | 10.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 6.9\% | 12.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% |
| Architecture and Engineering | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 16.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Sciences | 2.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 25.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Community \& Social Services | 0.8\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Legal | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Education, and Library | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Arts, Design, Entertainment | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Healthcare Practitioners | 7.8\% | 46.3\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% |
| Healthcare Support | 4.3\% | 15.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 44.9\% |
| Protective Service | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% |
| Food Prep and Serving | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 39.1\% | 25.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 18.0\% |
| Building and Grounds. | 0.9\% | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 30.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 6.0\% |
| Personal Care and Service | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 5.7\% | 4.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% |
| Sales and Related | 7.2\% | 0.3\% | 28.5\% | 2.5\% | 3.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Office and Admin Support | 25.2\% | 13.2\% | 5.4\% | 19.7\% | 9.9\% | 7.7\% | 13.1\% | 5.1\% |
| Farming, Fishing, Forestry | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Construction \& Extraction | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Installation, Maint. and Repair | 2.6\% | 0.9\% | 2.9\% | 5.6\% | 2.9\% | 1.2\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% |
| Production | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 33.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.5\% |
| Transportation | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 8.9\% | 1.2\% | 3.2\% | 0.6\% | 72.7\% | 1.1\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

To determine the distribution of worker households by occupation category, the percentage distribution of worker occupations identified in Table 3-4 is multiplied by the total number of worker households from Table 3-3. The result is a distribution in the number of worker households by worker occupation category as shown in Table 3-5. As one example, the 158.3 estimated worker households with office (Table 3-3) is multiplied by the $9.9 \%$ share in management occupations (Table 3-4) to arrive at the 15.6 worker households in management occupations in Table 3-5.

|  | Office | Medical | Retail / Comm'l | Hotel | Industrial | R\&D | Warehouse | Residentia Care |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management Occupations | 15.6 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 9.4 | 18.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Business and Financial | 23.4 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 6.5 | 12.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Computer and Mathematical | 15.8 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 15.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Architecture and Engineering | 7.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 18.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Sciences | 3.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 30.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Community \& Social Services | 1.3 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Legal | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Education, and Library | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Arts, Design, Entertainment | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Healthcare Practitioners | 12.4 | 73.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Healthcare Support | 6.9 | 24.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 10.7 |
| Protective Service | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Food Prep and Serving | 0.6 | 3.6 | 37.1 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| Building and Grounds. | 1.5 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 7.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| Personal Care and Service | 1.0 | 1.1 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Sales and Related | 11.4 | 0.5 | 27.1 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Office and Admin Support | 39.9 | 20.8 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 3.1 | 1.2 |
| Farming, Fishing, Forestry | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Construction and Extraction | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Installation, Maint. and Repair | 4.1 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Production | 1.3 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 32.1 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Transportation | 1.5 | 1.2 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 17.3 | 0.3 |
| Totals | 158.3 | 158.3 | 95.0 | 23.7 | 95.0 | 118.7 | 23.7 | 23.7 |

## Step 5 - Estimate of Employee Household Incomes

Employee wage and salary distribution is based on the occupational distribution from Step 4 in combination with recent Sacramento County wage and salary information from the California Employment Development Department (EDD) for the first quarter of 2021.

For each occupational category shown in Tables 3-4 and 3-5, the OES data provides a distribution of specific occupations within the category. For example, within the Food Preparation and Serving Category, there are Supervisors, Cooks, Servers, Dishwashers, etc. Each of these individual categories has a different distribution of wages which was obtained from EDD and is specific to workers in Sacramento County as of 2021. Worker compensations used in the analysis assume full time employment (40 hours per week) based on EDD's convention for reporting annual compensation. Compensations are adjusted where applicable to reflect the current $\$ 14$ per hour State minimum wage for businesses with 26 or more employees, which results in an annual income of $\$ 29,120$ assuming full time employment. The detailed occupation and salary data is provided in Appendix B.

Employee income is then translated into an estimate of household income using ratios between individual employee income and household income derived from U.S. Census data shown in Table 3-6. Ratios reflect an analysis of data for the workforce in Sacramento County with annual household incomes under $\$ 500,000$. Households with income of $\$ 500,000$ or more are not included to avoid a disproportionate influence on averages by a small percentage of households with incomes well over levels addressed in the Nexus Analysis.

| Individual Worker Income | One Worker Households | Two Worker Households | Three or More Workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$25,000 to \$29,999 | 1.36 | 2.79 | 3.61 |
| \$30,000 to \$39,999 | 1.24 | 2.37 | 3.05 |
| \$40,000 to \$49,999 | 1.16 | 2.17 | 2.53 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 1.15 | 1.99 | 2.25 |
| \$60,000 to \$79,999 | 1.11 | 1.85 | 1.97 |
| \$80,000 to \$99,999 | 1.07 | 1.69 | 1.75 |
| \$100,000 to \$124,999 | 1.06 | 1.58 | 1.62 |
| \$125,000 to \$149,999 | 1.05 | 1.45 | 1.50 |
| \$150,000 to \$249,999 | 1.05 | 1.35 | 1.40 |
| \$250,000 or more | 1.01 | 1.12 | 1.15 |

Source: KMA analysis of 2014 to 2018 American Community Survey PUMS data.
A ratio of 1.0 in Table 3-6 indicates the household has no additional income beyond that of the individual worker. A ratio of 2.0 means total household income is twice what the individual worker earns. With a two-earner household, a ratio of 2.0 indicates each worker in the household earns about the same amount. A ratio above 2.0 would indicate the other worker in the household earns more, on average, while a ratio less than 2 indicates the other worker earned less. The ratio between worker income and overall household income decreases as worker pay increases. This is because workers with higher pay are more likely to represent the largest source of household income.

The ratios adjust employee incomes upward even for households with only one worker. This is in consideration of non-wage/salary income sources such as child support, disability, social security, investment income and others. Ratios for one-worker households at the lower end of the compensation range tend to be larger, an indication that these workers are more likely to derive a share of household income from non-employment sources such as social security.

For workers with compensations of \$100,000 or more, having a third worker in the household tends to result in little increase in overall household income compared to households with two earners (i.e. ratios for $3+$ worker households are not much above ratios for two earner households). This is likely a reflection of the third worker being a teenager or young adult living with their parents who may hold a part time job but does not contribute significantly to household income. In contrast, for workers earning under \$50,000, a third worker tends to be associated with more of an increase to household income compared to two-earner households.

This likely represents more of a range of circumstances such as multi-generational households, families doubling up in a unit, or unrelated roommates. It is likely that, in some cases, these are responses to high housing costs and households would not choose the same living arrangements if more affordable housing were available. The Nexus Analysis makes the conservative assumption that the existing pattern, which is likely partially a response to high housing costs, continues.

Household income estimates for workers within each detailed occupation category are summarized in Appendix B. A separate estimate is provided for households with one, two, and three or more workers. Household income estimates are compared to HCD income criteria summarized in Table 2-1 to estimate the percent of worker households that would fall into each income category. This is done for each potential combination of household size and number of workers in the household.

## Step 6 - Household Size Distribution

In this step, the household size distribution of workers is estimated using U.S. Census data. In addition to the distribution in household sizes, the data also accounts for a range in the number of workers in households of various sizes. Table 3-7 indicates the percentage distribution utilized in the analysis. Application of these percentage factors accounts for the following:

- Households have a range in size and a range in the number of workers.
- Large households generally have more workers than smaller households.

Table 3-7. Percent of Households by Size and No. of Workers

| No. of Persons <br> in Household | No. of Workers <br> in Household | Percent of Total <br> Households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $17.9 \%$ |
| 2 | 1 | $15.0 \%$ |
|  | 2 | $15.1 \%$ |
| 3 | 1 | $7.9 \%$ |
|  | 2 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 4 | $3+$ | $2.5 \%$ |
|  | 2 | $5.9 \%$ |
|  | $3+$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| 5 | 1 | $3.4 \%$ |
|  | $2+$ | $3.1 \%$ |
|  | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| 6 | 2 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Total |  | $2+7 \%$ |
|  |  | $3.4 \%$ |

The result of Step 6 is a distribution of working households by number of workers and household size.

## Step 7 - Estimate of Households that meet HCD Size and Income Criteria

Step 7 calculates the number of employee households that fall into each income category for each size household. This calculation is based on combining the household income distribution (Step 5) with the worker household size distribution (Step 6) to arrive at a distribution of worker households by income category. Table 3-13A at the end of this section shows the results by occupation category after completing Steps 5, 6 and 7 for the Extremely Low Income Tier. The methodology is repeated for each of the lower income tiers (Tables 3-13B and 3-13C).

### 3.2 Housing Demand by Income Level

Table 3-8 indicates the results of the analysis for each of the eight building types. The table presents the number of households in each affordability category, the total number up to $80 \%$ of median, and the remaining households earning over $80 \%$ of median associated with a 100,000 square foot building.

|  | Office | Medical | Retail / <br> Comm'l | Hotel | Industrial | R\&D | Warehouse | Residential Care |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extremely Low Income | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Very Low Income | 7.1 | 10.6 | 22.8 | 5.0 | 7.9 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 4.6 |
| Low Income | 19.7 | 15.2 | 12.0 | 3.3 | 13.3 | 7.2 | 4.9 | 3.1 |
| Subtotal | 27.2 | 26.7 | 36.9 | 8.8 | 21.7 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.1 |
| Above 80\% AMI | 131.1 | 131.5 | 58.1 | 14.9 | 73.2 | 109.8 | 14.7 | 15.7 |
| Total | 158.3 | 158.3 | 95.0 | 23.7 | 95.0 | 118.7 | 23.7 | 23.7 |

Table 3-9 summarizes the percentage of worker households that fall into each income category. As indicated, approximately one-third of Retail/Commercial, Warehouse, Residential Care and Hotel worker households earn less than the $80 \%$ of median income level. R\&D space has the lowest percentage of workers under $80 \%$ of median at just $7.5 \%$ of worker households.

Table 3-9. Percentage of Households by Income Category

|  | Office | Medical | Retail/ Comm'l | Hotel | Industrial | R\&D | Warehouse | Residential Care |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extremely Low Income | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.8\% |
| Very Low Income | 4.5\% | 6.7\% | 24.0\% | 21.2\% | 8.3\% | 1.4\% | 16.1\% | 19.2\% |
| Low Income | 12.4\% | 9.6\% | 12.6\% | 13.9\% | 14.0\% | 6.0\% | 20.8\% | 13.0\% |
| Subtotal | 17.2\% | 16.9\% | 38.9\% | 37.2\% | 22.9\% | 7.5\% | 38.1\% | 34.1\% |
| Above 80\% AMI | 82.8\% | 83.1\% | 61.1\% | 62.8\% | 77.1\% | 92.5\% | 61.9\% | 65.9\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

### 3.3 Housing Demand Per Square Foot of Building Area

The analysis thus far has used 100,000 square foot buildings. In this step, the conclusions are translated to affordable housing demand per square foot of building area (see Table 3-10).

|  | Office | Medical | Retail/ Commercial | Hotel | Industrial / Manufacturing | R\&D | Warehouse | Residential Care |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extr. Low | 0.0000037 | 0.0000087 | 0.0000216 | 0.0000048 | 0.0000054 | 0.0000007 | 0.0000029 | 0.0000044 |
| Very Low | 0.0000711 | 0.0001061 | 0.0002279 | 0.0000504 | 0.0000789 | 0.0000170 | 0.0000382 | 0.0000456 |
| Low Income | 0.0001968 | 0.0001524 | 0.0001196 | 0.0000331 | 0.0001331 | 0.0000715 | 0.0000493 | 0.0000309 |
| Total | 0.0002716 | 0.0002671 | 0.0003690 | 0.0000883 | 0.0002174 | 0.0000892 | 0.0000904 | 0.0000809 |

Note: Figures in Table 3-10 are calculated by dividing findings from Table 3-8 by 100,000 square feet of building.
This is the summary of the housing nexus analysis, or the linkage from buildings to employees to housing demand, by income level. Estimates are conservative and most likely understate the number of worker households within the three affordability categories.

### 3.4 Affordability Gap

A key component of the analysis is the affordability gap, which represents the subsidy required to deliver affordable units to households in each of the three affordability categories. Fees are anticipated to be used to provide financial assistance to affordable projects built by non-profit affordable housing developers. The affordability gap assumes that housing fees will be used to assist affordable rental units financed with $4 \%$ tax credits. The affordability gaps are summarized in Table 3-11. Supporting analysis and discussion is provided in Section 4.

Table 3-11. Affordability Gaps

| Extremely Low (Under 30\% AMI) | $\$ 218,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Very Low (30\% to 50\% AMI) | $\$ 198,000$ |
| Low (50\% to 80\% AMI) | $\$ 166,000$ |

AMI = Area Median Income
See Section 4 for supporting analysis.

### 3.5 Maximum Supported Fees Per Square Foot of Building Area

The last step in the Nexus Analysis calculates the cost of delivering affordable housing to workers in new non-residential buildings. The demand for affordable units within each income category per square foot of building area from Table $3-10$ is multiplied by the affordability gaps from Table 3-11 to determine the cost to mitigate the affordable housing impacts.

| Affordability <br> Gap <br> (Table 3-11) | $X$ | No. affordable units <br> generated per square <br> foot of building area. <br> (from Table 3-10) | $=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Maximum Fee Per |
| :--- |
| Square Foot of |
| Building Area |

The results of this calculation are presented in Table 3-12. The findings in Table 3-12 represent the maximum housing fee that could be charged to new non-residential developments to mitigate the development's impacts on the need for affordable housing. These figures are not recommended fee levels; they represent only the maximums established by this analysis.

## Table 3-12. Maximum Supported Housing Fee Per Square Foot of Building Area.

| INCOME CATEGORY | Office | Medical | Retail/ <br> Comm'I | Hotel | Industrial/ <br> Manuf. | R\&D | Warehouse | Residential <br> Care |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extremely Low | $\$ 0.80$ | $\$ 1.90$ | $\$ 4.70$ | $\$ 1.00$ | $\$ 1.20$ | $\$ 0.10$ | $\$ 0.60$ | $\$ 1.00$ |
| Very Low | $\$ 14.10$ | $\$ 21.00$ | $\$ 45.10$ | $\$ 10.00$ | $\$ 15.60$ | $\$ 3.40$ | $\$ 7.60$ | $\$ 9.00$ |
| Low | $\$ 32.70$ | $\$ 25.30$ | $\$ 19.80$ | $\$ 5.50$ | $\$ 22.10$ | $\$ 11.90$ | $\$ 8.20$ | $\$ 5.10$ |
| Total Mitigation Cost/ | $\$ 47.60$ | $\$ 48.20$ | $\$ 69.60$ | $\$ 16.50$ | $\$ 38.90$ | $\$ 15.40$ | $\$ 16.40$ | $\$ 15.10$ |
| Maximum Supported Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Nexus findings are not recommended fee levels.

Total nexus or mitigation costs are driven by employment densities, the compensation levels of jobs, and the cost of developing residential units. Higher employment densities contribute to higher nexus costs. Retail has the highest nexus cost, driven by the combination of generally lower worker compensation levels and the density of employment. While hotel, warehouse and residential care have a similar percentage of their workforce at or below Low Income as retail, the lower density of employment results in a lower nexus cost compared to retail.

If expenditure of housing fees continues to be limited to households earning $0 \%$ to $50 \%$ of AMI , the relevant maximum supported fee level is the sum of the Extremely Low and Very Low Income results in Table 3-12, without Low Income. If use of housing fees is expanded to also include housing for Low Income households, the Table 3-12 total mitigation cost findings are the relevant maximum supported fee levels.

### 3.6 Conservative Assumptions

In establishing maximum fees, many conservative assumptions were employed in the analysis that result in a cost to mitigate affordable housing needs that may be considerably understated. These conservative assumptions include:

- Only direct employees are counted in the analysis. Many indirect employees are also associated with each new workspace. Indirect employees in an office building, for example, include security, delivery personnel, building cleaning and maintenance personnel, and a whole range of others. Hotels do have many of these workers on staff, but hotels also "contract out" a number of services that are not taken into account in the analysis. For simplicity and because the results using only direct employees are
significantly higher than the fee levels typically considered for adoption, we limit it to direct employees only.
- A downward adjustment of $20 \%$ has been reflected in the analysis to account for declining industries and the potential that displaced workers from declining sectors of the economy will fill a portion of new jobs. This is a conservative assumption because many displaced workers may exit the workforce by retiring and the adjustment is only necessary to the extent vacated space is not re-occupied.
- Annual incomes for workers reflect full time employment based upon EDD's convention for reporting the compensation information. In fact, many workers work less than full time; therefore, annual compensations for these workers is likely overstated.

In summary, less conservative assumptions could have been made that would have resulted in higher maximum fees.

TABLE 3-13A
ESTIMATE OF QUALIFYING HOUSEHOLDS - EXTREMELY LOW INCOME
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CA
Analysis for Households Earning up to 30\% of Median

## Per 100,000 SF Building

Office $\quad$ Medical \begin{tabular}{c}
Retail / <br>
Commercial

 Hotel 

Industrial/ <br>
Manufacturing

 

Research and <br>
Development

 

Warehouse

 

Residential <br>
Care
\end{tabular}

Households Earning up to $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ of Median (Step 5, 6, \& 7) ${ }^{(1)}$
Management
Business and Financial Operations

| - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | 0.00 | - | - | - |
| 0.00 | - | - | - | - | 0.03 | - | - |
| - | 0.03 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0.01 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0.00 | 0.01 | - | - | - | 0.00 | - | 0.00 |
| 0.02 | 0.53 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.26 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | 0.08 | 1.07 | 0.15 | 0.01 | - | - | 0.11 |
| - | 0.06 | - | 0.11 | - | - | - | 0.00 |
| - | - | 0.11 | 0.02 | - | - | - | 0.02 |
| 0.07 | - | 0.60 | 0.01 | 0.03 | - | - | - |
| 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0.01 | - | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| - | - | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.36 | - | 0.01 | - |
| - | - | 0.18 | - | 0.04 | - | 0.24 | - |
| 0.35 | 0.81 | 2.06 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.42 |
| 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 |

Notes:
(1) Appendix Tables 1 through 16 contain additional information on worker occupation categories, compensation levels and estimated household incomes.

TABLE 3-13B
ESTIMATE OF QUALIFYING HOUSEHOLDS - VERY LOW INCOME

## HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS

SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CA
Analysis for Households Earning 30\% to 50\% of Median

| MedicalRetail/ <br> CommercialHotelIndustrial/ <br> ManufacturingResearch and <br> DevelopmentWarehouseResidential <br> Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Per 100,000 SF Building

Households Earning 30\% to 50\% of Median (Step 5, 6, \& 7) ${ }^{(1)}$

| Management | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | - | - | - | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business and Financial Operations | 0.13 | 0.03 | - | - | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.00 | - |
| Computer and Mathematical | 0.03 | - | - | - | - | 0.02 | - | - |
| Architecture and Engineering | 0.01 | - | - | - | 0.05 | 0.00 | - | - |
| Life, Physical and Social Science | 0.06 | - | - | - | - | 0.61 | - | - |
| Community and Social Services | - | 0.54 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Legal | 0.14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Education Training and Library | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media | 0.11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Healthcare Practitioners and Technical | 0.12 | 0.33 | - | - | - | 0.04 | - | 0.01 |
| Healthcare Support | 0.57 | 5.48 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.52 |
| Protective Service | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Food Preparation and Serving Related | - | 0.82 | 10.50 | 1.58 | 0.09 | - | - | 1.12 |
| Building Grounds and Maintenance | - | 0.69 | - | 1.29 | - | - | - | 0.22 |
| Personal Care and Service | - | - | 1.09 | 0.24 | - | - | - | 0.25 |
| Sales and Related | 0.93 | - | 6.75 | 0.07 | 0.35 | - | - | - |
| Office and Admin | 4.22 | 2.04 | 0.68 | 1.28 | 1.08 | 0.79 | 0.43 | 0.17 |
| Farm, Fishing, and Forestry | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Construction and Extraction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Installation Maintenance and Repair | 0.32 | - | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.15 | - | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Production | - | - | 0.46 | 0.17 | 4.99 | - | 0.08 | - |
| Transportation and Material Moving | - | - | 1.97 | - | 0.53 | - | 3.09 | - |
| HH earning 30\% to 50\% of Median - major occupations | 6.69 | 9.94 | 21.74 | 4.77 | 7.29 | 1.54 | 3.66 | 4.35 |
| HH earning 30\% to 50\% of Median - all other occupations | 0.42 | 0.67 | 1.05 | 0.27 | 0.59 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.21 |
| Total Households Earning 30\% to 50\% of Median | 7.1 | 10.6 | 22.8 | 5.0 | 7.9 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 4.6 |

## Notes

(1) Appendix Tables 1 through 16 contain additional information on worker occupation categories, compensation levels and estimated household incomes

TABLE 3-13C
ESTIMATE OF QUALIFYING HOUSEHOLDS - LOW INCOME
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CA
Analysis for Households Earning 50\% to 80\% of Median


## Per 100,000 SF Building

Households Earning 50\% to 80\% of Median (Step 5, 6, \& 7) ${ }^{(1)}$

| Management | 0.47 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business and Financial Operations | 2.17 | 0.39 | - | - | 0.66 | 1.14 | 0.06 | - |
| Computer and Mathematical | 0.45 | - | - | - | - | 0.30 | - | - |
| Architecture and Engineering | 0.21 | - | - | - | 0.40 | 0.33 | - | - |
| Life, Physical and Social Science | 0.25 | - | - | - | - | 2.21 | - | - |
| Community and Social Services | - | 1.88 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Legal | 0.33 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Education Training and Library | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media | 0.48 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Healthcare Practitioners and Technical | 0.41 | 3.13 | - | - | - | 0.22 | - | 0.24 |
| Healthcare Support | 1.69 | 2.33 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.29 |
| Protective Service | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Food Preparation and Serving Related | - | 0.72 | 2.96 | 0.65 | 0.03 | - | - | 0.53 |
| Building Grounds and Maintenance | - | 0.82 | - | 1.58 | - | - | - | 0.30 |
| Personal Care and Service | - | - | 0.79 | 0.14 | - | - | - | 0.12 |
| Sales and Related | 1.67 | - | 3.91 | 0.08 | 0.38 | - | - | - |
| Office and Admin | 9.59 | 4.79 | 1.25 | 0.28 | 2.29 | 1.99 | 0.72 | 0.29 |
| Farm, Fishing, and Forestry | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Construction and Extraction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Installation Maintenance and Repair | 0.77 | - | 0.52 | 0.28 | 0.49 | - | 0.13 | 0.12 |
| Production | - | - | 0.31 | 0.03 | 7.20 | - | 0.12 | - |
| Transportation and Material Moving | - | - | 1.45 | - | 0.63 | - | 3.68 | - |
| HH earning $50 \%$ to $80 \%$ of Median - major occupations | 18.52 | 14.28 | 11.40 | 3.13 | 12.31 | 6.45 | 4.73 | 2.95 |
| HH earning 50\% to 80\% of Median - all other occupations | 1.16 | 0.96 | 0.55 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.21 | 0.14 |
| Total Households Earning 50\% to 80\% of Median | 19.7 | 15.2 | 12.0 | 3.3 | 13.3 | 7.2 | 4.9 | 3.1 |

[^3]
### 4.0 AFFORDABILITY GAP ANALYSIS

A key component of an impact analysis is the mitigation cost. In an affordable housing nexus analysis, the mitigation cost is the "affordability gap" - the financial gap between what lower income households can afford to pay and the cost of producing new housing. The affordability gap analysis is based on the remaining financial gap after assistance available through State and Federal Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC).

### 4.1 County-Assisted Affordable Unit Prototype

For estimating the affordability gap, there is a need to match a household of each income level with a unit type and size according to governmental regulations and County practices and policies. The prototype affordable unit should reflect a modest unit consistent with what the County is likely to assist. The focus is on affordable projects developed for families as opposed to projects consisting of primarily studios or single room occupancy units too small to accommodate an average-size worker household.

KMA reviewed project information for six recent or proposed affordable housing projects in Sacramento County:

- Sunrise Pointe (Citrus Heights)
- Mirasol Village, Blocks B and E (Sacramento)
- Mirasol Village, Block A (Sacramento)
- Mutual Housing on the Boulevard (Sacramento)
- Lavender Courtyard (Sacramento)
- Metro at $7^{\text {th }}$ Phase I (Sacramento)

Based on these projects, it is assumed that the County will assist in the development of multifamily rental units averaging approximately 1.5 bedrooms ${ }^{4}$ per unit.

### 4.2 Development Costs

KMA estimated total development costs for the affordable housing prototype (inclusive of land acquisition costs, direct construction costs, indirect costs of development and financing) based on costs for the six multi-family affordable rental projects listed above. Cost data for each project was provided by the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) and is summarized in Table 4-4 at the end of this section. Total development cost per affordable unit is estimated at the $\$ 505,000$ per unit average for the six projects.

[^4]
### 4.3 Unit Values

Unit values are based upon the funding sources assumed to be available for the project. Funding sources include tax-exempt permanent debt financing supported by the project's operating income, a deferred developer fee, and equity generated by both $4 \%$ federal low income housing tax credits and state tax credits. The highly competitive $9 \%$ federal tax credits are not assumed because of the limited number of projects that receive an allocation of $9 \%$ tax credits in any given year per geographic region. Other affordable housing subsidy sources such as CDBG, HOME, AHP, and various Federal and State funding programs are also limited and difficult to obtain and therefore are not assumed in this analysis as available to offset the cost of mitigating the affordable housing impacts of new development.

The unit values are summarized in Table 4-1. Further detail is provided in Table 4-3.

| Table 4-1. Unit Values for Affordable Units |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Income Group | Unit Value |
| Extremely Low (Under 30\% AMI) | $\$ 287,000$ |
| Very Low (30\% to 50\% AMI) | $\$ 307,000$ |
| Low (50\% to 80\% AMI) | $\$ 339,000$ |

### 4.4 Affordability Gap

The affordability gap is the difference between the cost of developing the affordable units and the unit value based on the restricted affordable rent. The resulting affordability gaps are as presented in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2. Affordability Gap Calculation

|  | Unit Value | Development Cost | Affordability Gap |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extremely Low (Under 30\% AMI) | $\$ 287,000$ | $\$ 505,000$ | $\$ 218,000$ |
| Very Low (30\% to 50\% AMI) | $\$ 307,000$ | $\$ 505,000$ | $\$ 198,000$ |
| Low (50\% to 80\% AMI) | $\$ 339,000$ | $\$ 505,000$ | $\$ 166,000$ |

Detailed analysis supporting the affordability gap calculations is provided in Tables 4-3 and 4-4.

TABLE 4-3
AFFORDABILITY GAP CALCULATION
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CA


## Notes

${ }^{[1]}$ Estimate of costs based on average of recent projects as summarized in Table 4-4.
${ }^{[2]}$ Maximum rents per Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) for projects utilizing Low Income Housing Tax Credits.
${ }^{[3]}$ Estimated based on SHRA utility allowance schedule.
${ }^{[4]}$ Assumes tax exemption for non-profit general partner.
${ }^{[5]}$ Extremely Low Income units cannot support permanent financing, and will require an operating subsidy. Recent SHRA projects received Project Based Vouchers for Extremely Low Income units.
${ }^{[6]}$ Assumes projects receives $4 \%$ federal tax credits and $\$ 75,000$ per unit in state tax credits (average credit received for recent SHRA projects).

TABLE 4-4
DEVELOPMENT COSTS FOR RECENT AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECTS housing trust fund nexus analysis
SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CA

|  | Sunrise Pointe | Mirasol Village Blocks B and E | Mirasol Village Block A | Mutual Housing on the Boulevard | Lavender <br> Courtyard | Metro at 7th Phase I | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction | Citrus Heights | Sacramento | Sacramento | Sacramento | Sacramento | Sacramento |  |
| Number of Units | 47 | 123 | 104 | 127 | 53 | 65 | 87 |
| Avg No. Bedrooms | 2.00 | 1.93 | 1.80 | 1.63 | 1.08 | 0.54 | 1.49 |
| Avg. unit size (SF) | 801 | 854 | 776 | 800 | 523 | 402 | 693 |
| No. stories | 3 stories | 2 to 4 stories | 2 to 4 stories | 3 stories | 4 stories | 4 stories |  |
| Land | \$20,213 | \$1,431 | \$8,990 | \$6,299 | \$18,868 | \$20,000 | \$12,634 |
| Direct Construction | \$310,725 | \$337,722 | \$359,178 | \$325,417 | \$349,117 | \$206,857 | \$314,836 |
| Indirect Costs | \$144,236 | \$138,179 | \$179,123 | \$134,649 | \$197,330 | \$142,797 | \$156,052 |
| Financing | \$20,603 | \$12,744 | \$11,647 | \$39,804 | \$25,224 | \$19,425 | \$21,575 |
| Total Development Cost | \$495,777 | \$490,076 | \$558,938 | \$506,169 | \$590,539 | \$389,079 | \$505,096 |

### 5.0 MITIGATION FEE ACT FINDINGS

This section provides findings language consistent with the requirements of the Mitigation Fee Act as set forth in Government Code § 66000 et seq.
(1) Identify the purpose of the fee (66001(a)(1)).

The purpose of the housing fee is to fund construction of affordable housing to mitigate the increased demand for affordable housing from workers in newly developed workplace buildings.
(2) Identify the use to which the fee is to be put (66001(a)(2)).

Housing fees are used to increase the supply of affordable housing for qualifying households.
(3) Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed (66001(a)(3)).

The foregoing Nexus Analysis has demonstrated that there is a reasonable relationship between the use of the fee, which is to increase the supply of affordable housing in Sacramento County, and the development of new non-residential buildings which increases the need for affordable housing. Development of new non-residential buildings increases the number of jobs in Sacramento County. A share of the new workers in these new jobs will have household incomes that qualify as Extremely Low, Very Low, and Low Income and result in an increased need for affordable housing.
(4) Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed (66001(a)(4)).

The analysis has demonstrated that there is a reasonable relationship between the development of non-residential workspace buildings in Sacramento County and the need for additional affordable units. Development of new workspace buildings accommodates additional jobs in Sacramento County. Eight different non-residential development types were analyzed (office, medical, retail / commercial, hotel, industrial / manufacturing, R\&D, warehouse, and residential care). The number of jobs added in various types of new non-residential buildings is documented on page 7. Based on household income levels for the new workers in these new jobs, a significant share of the need is for housing affordable to Extremely Low, Very Low, and Low Income levels. The Nexus Analysis concludes that for every 100,000 square feet of new office space, 27.2 incremental affordable units are needed. For Medical, 26.7 affordable units are needed
per 100,000 square feet of space developed, 36.9 for Retail / Commercial, 8.8 for Hotel, 21.7 for Industrial / Manufacturing, 8.9 for Research and Development, 9 for Warehouse and 8.1 for Residential Care.
(5) Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the amount of the fee and the cost of the public facility or portion of the public facility attributable to the development on which the fee is imposed. (66001(b)).

There is a reasonable relationship between the amount of the fee and the cost of the needed affordable housing attributable to the new non-residential development. The Nexus Analysis has quantified the increased need for affordable units in relation to each type of new non-residential use being developed and determined maximum fee levels based on the cost of providing the needed affordable housing. Costs reflect the net subsidy required to produce the affordable units based on recent cost information for development of affordable housing in Sacramento County. Housing fees do not exceed the cost of providing the affordable housing that is attributable to the new development.
(6) A fee shall not include the costs attributable to existing deficiencies in public facilities (66001(g)).

The Nexus Analysis quantifies only the net new affordable housing needs generated by new non-residential development in Sacramento County. Existing deficiencies with respect to housing conditions in the county are not considered nor in any way included in the analysis.

This appendix includes a discussion of various factors and assumptions in relation to the Nexus Analysis and provides a description of the validity of certain assumptions in the Sacramento County market.

## 1. No Excess Supply of Affordable Housing

An assumption of this Nexus Analysis is that there is no excess supply of affordable housing available to absorb or offset new demand; therefore, new affordable units are needed to mitigate the new affordable housing demand generated by new non-residential development. Based on a review of recent Census information for the County and other sources, conditions in Sacramento County are consistent with the underlying assumption that no excess supply of housing affordable to Extremely Low, Very Low, and Low Income households exists, as evidenced by the following:

- Census data for Sacramento County from the 2014 to 2018 American Community Survey shows $38 \%$ of all households in the County are paying thirty percent or more of their income on housing (including incorporated cities).
- For households earning less than \$75,000 per year, a group that includes $55 \%$ of all households in the County, $64 \%$ are paying thirty percent or more of their income on housing according the U.S. Census 2014 to 2018 American Community Survey.
- Development of new rental units affordable to Extremely Low, Very Low, and Low Income households is unlikely to occur without a subsidy because rents affordable to these income groups are not sufficient to support the high cost of construction, as demonstrated in Section 4.


## 2. Addressing the Housing Needs of a New Population vs. the Existing Population

This Nexus Analysis assumes there is no excess supply of affordable housing available to absorb or offset new demand; therefore, new affordable units are needed to mitigate the new affordable housing demand generated by development of new workplace buildings.

This nexus study does not address the housing needs of the existing population. Rather, the study focuses exclusively on documenting and quantifying the housing needs created by development of new workplace buildings.

## 3. Substitution Factor

Any given new building may be occupied partly, or even perhaps totally, by employees relocating from elsewhere in the region. Buildings are often leased entirely to firms relocating from other buildings in the same jurisdiction. However, when a firm relocates to a new building
from elsewhere in the region, there is a space in an existing building that is vacated and occupied by another firm. That building in turn may be filled by some combination of newcomers to the area and existing workers. Somewhere in the chain there are jobs new to the region. The net effect is that new workplace buildings accommodate new employees, although not necessarily inside the new buildings themselves.

## 4. Indirect Employment and Multiplier Effects

The multiplier effect refers to the concept that the income generated by a new job recycles through the economy and results in additional jobs. The total number of jobs generated is broken down into three categories - direct, indirect and induced. In the case of this Nexus Analysis, the direct jobs are those located in the new workspace buildings that would be subject to the housing fee. Multiplier effects encompass indirect and induced employment. Indirect jobs are generated by suppliers to the businesses located in the new workspace buildings. Induced jobs are generated by local spending on goods and services by employees.

Multiplier effects vary by industry. Industries that draw heavily on a network of local suppliers tend to generate larger multiplier effects. Industries that are labor intensive also tend to have larger multiplier effects as a result of the induced effects of employee spending.

Theoretically, a jobs-housing nexus analysis could consider multiplier effects although the potential for double-counting exists to the extent indirect and induced jobs are added in other new buildings in jurisdictions that have housing fees. KMA chose to omit the multiplier effects (the indirect and induced employment impacts) to avoid potential double-counting and make the analysis more conservative.

In addition, the Nexus Analysis addresses direct "inside" employment only. In the case of an office building, for example, direct employment covers the various managerial, professional and clerical people that work in the building; it does not include delivery services, landscape maintenance workers, janitorial contractors and many others that are associated with the normal functioning of an office building. In other words, any analysis that ties lower income housing to the number of workers inside buildings will continue to understate the demand. Thus, confining the analysis to the direct employees does not address all the lower income workers associated with each type of building and understates the impacts.

## 5. Economic Cycles

An impact analysis of this nature is intended to support a one-time impact requirement to address impacts generated over the life of a project (generally 40 years or more). Short-term conditions, such as a recession or a vigorous boom period, are not an appropriate basis for estimating impacts over the life of the building. These cycles can produce impacts that are higher or lower on a temporary basis.

Development of new workspace buildings tends to be minimal during a recession and generally remains minimal until conditions improve or there is confidence that improved conditions are imminent. When this occurs, the improved economic condition will absorb existing vacant space and underutilized capacity of existing workers, employed and unemployed. By the time new buildings become occupied, conditions will have likely improved.

To the limited extent that new workspace buildings are built during a recession, housing impacts from these new buildings may not be fully experienced immediately, but the impacts will be experienced at some point. New buildings delivered during a recession can sometimes sit vacant for a period after completion. Even if new buildings are immediately occupied, overall absorption of space can still be zero or negative if other buildings are vacated in the process. Jobs added may also be filled in part by unemployed or underemployed workers who are already housed locally. As the economy recovers, firms will begin to expand and hire again filling unoccupied space as unemployment is reduced. New space delivered during the recession still adds to the total supply of employment space in the region. Though the jobs are not realized immediately, as the economy recovers and vacant space is filled, this new employment space absorbs or accommodates job growth. Although there may be a delay in experiencing the impacts, the fundamental relationship between new buildings, added jobs, and housing needs remains over the long term.

In contrast, during a vigorous economic boom period, conditions exist in which elevated impacts are experienced on a temporary basis. As an example, compression of employment densities can occur as firms add employees while making do with existing space.

While economic cycles can produce impacts that are temporarily higher or lower than normal, an impact fee is designed to be collected once, during the development of the project. Over the lifetime of the project, the impacts of the development on the demand for affordable housing will be realized, despite short-term booms and recessions.

## 6. Non-Duplication of Residential and Non-Residential Affordable Housing Mitigations

Sacramento County has an existing residential Affordability Fee that helps mitigate the impacts of new residential development on the demand for affordable housing. A separate Residential Nexus Analysis prepared by KMA in 2013 provides nexus support to the residential fee program. This section evaluates the potential for overlap between the affordable housing impacts being mitigated by the County's residential and non-residential fee programs. The analysis demonstrates that no duplication in affordable housing mitigations will occur.

To briefly summarize the Nexus Analysis, the logic begins with jobs located in new workplace buildings including office buildings, retail spaces, hotels and others. The Nexus Analysis then identifies the compensation structure of the new jobs depending on the building type, the income of the new worker households, and the housing affordability level of the new worker
households, concluding with the number of new worker households in the lower income affordability categories.

In the Residential Nexus Analysis, the logic begins with households who rent or buy new market rate units. The nexus analysis quantifies the number of jobs created in services to the new households and then identifies the compensation structure of the new jobs, the income of the new worker households, and the housing affordability level of the new worker households, concluding with the number of new worker households in the lower income affordability categories.

Some of the jobs that are counted in the Nexus Analysis may also be counted in the Residential Nexus Analysis. The overlap potential exists in jobs generated by the expenditures of residents of new residential units, such as expenditures for food, personal services, restaurant meals and entertainment. However, many jobs counted in the Nexus Analysis are not addressed in the Residential Nexus Analysis at all. Firms in office, industrial, warehouse and hotel buildings often serve a much broader market and are generally not focused on providing services to local residents. These non-local serving jobs are not counted in the Residential Nexus Analysis. Retail, which typically is primarily local serving, is the building type that has the greatest potential for overlap between the jobs counted in the two nexus analyses.

Theoretically, there is a set of conditions in which $100 \%$ of the jobs counted for purposes of the Nexus Analysis are also counted for purposes of the Residential Nexus Analysis. For example, a small retail component within a large residential development that is mostly or entirely dependent upon customers from within the residential development. The retail space is subject to a non-residential housing fee while the residential units are subject to the residential Affordability Fee. In this special case, the two programs mitigate the affordable housing demand of the very same workers. Therefore, in this special case, the combined requirements of the two programs to fund construction of affordable units must not exceed $100 \%$ of the demand for affordable units generated by employees in the new commercial space.

Complete overlap between jobs counted in the Nexus Analysis and jobs counted in the Residential Nexus Analysis could occur only in a very narrow set of theoretical circumstances. The following analysis demonstrates that combined mitigation requirements would not exceed the nexus even if the jobs counted in the Residential Nexus Analysis are also counted in the Nexus Analysis. As discussed, the theoretical possibility of $100 \%$ overlap exists mainly with retail jobs that serve residents of new housing in Sacramento County; therefore, the overlap analysis is focused on the retail land use.

## Non-Residential Housing Fee as Percent of Nexus Maximum

The Nexus Analysis calculates the maximum non-residential housing fee supported by the analysis of $\$ 69.60$ per square foot of retail. For purposes of the illustration in this section only, a
non-residential fee for retail of not more than $\$ 15$ per square foot is assumed. If the County were to adopt a housing fee applicable to retail of not more than $\$ 15$ per square foot, it would mitigate no more than $22 \%$ of the total affordable housing impacts for retail as shown in Table A-1.

## Table A-1 Illustrative Retail Fee as a Percent of Nexus Maximum

| Building Type | Nexus Maximum | Retail Fee Assumed <br> for Purposes of <br> Illustration Only | Illustrative Retail Fee as <br> Percent of Nexus |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail | $\$ 69.60$ | Not more than $\$ 15 /$ SF | $22 \%$ |

## Residential Fee as Percent of Nexus Maximum

The Residential Nexus Analysis identifies the affordable unit demand impacts of new market rate residential development and calculates maximum affordable housing impact fees based on the cost of mitigating these impacts. In Table A-2, KMA combines affordable unit demand impact findings of the 2013 Residential Nexus Analysis with the updated affordability gaps that are calculated in Section 4 to determine updated maximum supported affordable housing impact fees per square foot of residential development. The calculation was prepared for the residential development type having the lowest per square foot maximum supported fee per the 2013 Residential Nexus Analysis, lower density single family detached units at the lower market price estimate. Based on current mitigation costs, the updated maximum Affordability Fee is $\$ 17.50$.

|  | A. <br> Affordable Unit Demand Per 100 Market Rate Units | B. <br> Affordability <br> Gap | C. Updated Mitigation Cost Per Residential Unit | D. <br> Updated Mitigation Cost Per Square Foot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential Nexus Analysis | Section 4 | =A. $\times$ B. 1100 | $\begin{gathered} =C . / 2,200 \text { SF market rate unit } \\ \text { size } \end{gathered}$ |
| Very Low (30\% - 50\% AMI) | 10.8 | \$198,000 | \$21,400 | \$9.73 |
| Low (50\%-80\% AMI) | 10.3 | \$166,000 | \$17,100 | \$7.77 |
| Total | 21.1 |  | \$38,500 | \$17.50 |

Source: 2013 Residential Nexus Analysis prepared by KMA for the County of Sacramento, with affordability gaps updated based on Section 4 of this report. The 2013 analysis presented housing demand for a range of market rate development types and prices; the above estimates reflect the prototype with the lowest maximum fee finding.

The residential Affordability Fee is currently $\$ 3.04$ per square foot of each market rate unit.

As shown in Table A-3, the current Affordability Fee of \$3.04/SF represents $17 \%$ of the updated nexus maximum identified in Table A-2. Therefore, the Affordability Fee mitigates approximately $17 \%$ of the affordable housing impacts associated with new market rate residential developments.

| Table A-3. Percent of Nexus Maximum Mitigated by Residential Affordability Fee |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nexus Maximum Per Square Foot ${ }^{1}$ | $\$ 17.50 / \mathrm{SF}$ |
| Current Affordability Fee (residential) | $\$ 3.04 / \mathrm{SF}$ |
| Percent of Nexus Maximum Mitigated | $17 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Table A-2

## Combined Affordable Housing Mitigations Do Not Exceed Nexus Maximums

As an illustrative non-residential housing fee for retail of \$15 per square foot would mitigate 22\% of the maximum supported by the nexus, as shown in Table A-1, and residential fees mitigate approximately $17 \%$ of the maximum supported by the nexus, combined residential and nonresidential affordable housing mitigations would mitigate no more than $39 \%$ of the impacts ( $22 \%$ $+17 \%=39 \%)$, even under the theoretical circumstance of $100 \%$ overlap in the jobs counted in the two nexus analyses. Therefore, no duplication in affordable housing mitigations will occur.

This section may require updating if residential requirements are modified or if non-residential housing fees are adopted at levels that exceed the illustrative fee level assumed in this section.

## APPENDIX B: SUPPORTING TECHNICAL ANALYSIS TABLES

Addressing: worker occupations, compensations, household incomes, and industry categories

## APPENDIX B TABLE 1 <br> ESTIMATED WORKER OCCUPATION DISTRIBUTION, 2019 <br> OFFICE WORKERS <br> HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS <br> COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| Major Occupations (2\% or more) | Worker Occupation D <br> Office |
| :--- | :---: |
| Management Occupations | $9.9 \%$ |
| Business and Financial Operations Occupations | $14.8 \%$ |
| Computer and Mathematical Occupations | $10.0 \%$ |
| Architecture and Engineering Occupations | $4.6 \%$ |
| Life, Physical, and Social Science Occupations | $2.1 \%$ |
| Legal Occupations | $3.5 \%$ |
| Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media Occupations | $2.1 \%$ |
| Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations | $7.8 \%$ |
| Healthcare Support Occupations | $4.3 \%$ |
| Sales and Related Occupations | $7.2 \%$ |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations | $25.2 \%$ |
| Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations | $2.6 \%$ |
| All Other Worker Occupations - Office | $5.9 \%$ |

## APPENDIX B TABLE 2 <br> AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 OFFICE WORKER OCCUPATIONS HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| Occupation ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2021 \text { Avg. } \\ \text { Worker } \\ \text { Compensation }{ }^{1} \end{array}$ | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | \% of Total Occupation Group ${ }^{2}$ | \% of Total Office Workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One <br> Worker | Two <br> Workers | Three+ <br> Workers |  |  |
| Page 1 of 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chief Executives | \$149,700 | \$158,000 | \$218,000 | \$225,000 | 3.2\% | 0.3\% |
| General and Operations Managers | \$125,300 | \$132,000 | \$182,000 | \$189,000 | 25.9\% | 2.6\% |
| Marketing Managers | \$154,900 | \$163,000 | \$210,000 | \$216,000 | 5.6\% | 0.6\% |
| Sales Managers | \$143,000 | \$151,000 | \$208,000 | \$215,000 | 4.8\% | 0.5\% |
| Administrative Services and Facilities Managers | \$113,800 | \$120,000 | \$180,000 | \$185,000 | 3.9\% | 0.4\% |
| Computer and Information Systems Managers | \$161,800 | \$170,000 | \$219,000 | \$226,000 | 10.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Financial Managers | \$138,400 | \$146,000 | \$201,000 | \$208,000 | 12.6\% | 1.2\% |
| Human Resources Managers | \$128,200 | \$135,000 | \$186,000 | \$193,000 | 2.5\% | 0.2\% |
| Architectural and Engineering Managers | \$169,900 | \$178,000 | \$230,000 | \$237,000 | 3.7\% | 0.4\% |
| Medical and Health Services Managers | \$147,000 | \$155,000 | \$214,000 | \$221,000 | 3.7\% | 0.4\% |
| Property, Real Estate, and Community Association Managers | \$69,700 | \$77,000 | \$129,000 | \$137,000 | 7.5\% | 0.7\% |
| Personal Service Managers; Entertainment and Recr Managers | \$138,300 | \$146,000 | \$201,000 | \$208,000 | 6.4\% | 0.6\% |
| Other Management Occupations | \$133,500 | \$141,000 | \$194,000 | \$201,000 | 10.4\% | 1.0\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$133,500 | \$141,000 | \$194,000 | \$200,000 | 100.0\% | 9.9\% |
| Business and Financial Operations Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buyers and Purchasing Agents | \$76,000 | \$84,000 | \$141,000 | \$150,000 | 2.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Claims Adjusters, Examiners, and Investigators | \$77,100 | \$85,000 | \$143,000 | \$152,000 | 7.8\% | 1.2\% |
| Compliance Officers | \$82,700 | \$89,000 | \$140,000 | \$145,000 | 2.6\% | 0.4\% |
| Human Resources Specialists | \$75,300 | \$83,000 | \$139,000 | \$148,000 | 5.2\% | 0.8\% |
| Labor Relations Specialists | \$93,400 | \$100,000 | \$158,000 | \$164,000 | 3.1\% | 0.5\% |
| Management Analysts | \$79,300 | \$88,000 | \$147,000 | \$156,000 | 11.0\% | 1.6\% |
| Training and Development Specialists | \$68,000 | \$75,000 | \$126,000 | \$134,000 | 3.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Market Research Analysts and Marketing Specialists | \$74,800 | \$83,000 | \$138,000 | \$147,000 | 9.7\% | 1.4\% |
| Project Management and Business Operations Specialists | \$83,500 | \$89,000 | \$142,000 | \$146,000 | 13.0\% | 1.9\% |
| Accountants and Auditors | \$84,800 | \$91,000 | \$144,000 | \$149,000 | 16.6\% | 2.4\% |
| Insurance Underwriters | \$80,600 | \$86,000 | \$137,000 | \$141,000 | 3.3\% | 0.5\% |
| Loan Officers | \$81,000 | \$87,000 | \$137,000 | \$142,000 | 3.9\% | 0.6\% |
| Financial and Investment Analysts, Financial Risk Specialists | \$91,000 | \$97,000 | \$154,000 | \$160,000 | 5.6\% | 0.8\% |
| Other Business and Financial Operations Occupations | \$81,000 | \$87,000 | \$137,000 | \$142,000 | 12.7\% | 1.9\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$81,000 | \$88,000 | \$142,000 | \$148,000 | 100.0\% | 14.8\% |
| Computer and Mathematical Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer Systems Analysts | \$106,700 | \$113,000 | \$169,000 | \$173,000 | 14.1\% | 1.4\% |
| Information Security Analysts | \$115,000 | \$121,000 | \$182,000 | \$187,000 | 3.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Computer Network Support Specialists | \$77,300 | \$86,000 | \$143,000 | \$152,000 | 3.5\% | 0.3\% |
| Computer User Support Specialists | \$88,100 | \$94,000 | \$149,000 | \$154,000 | 11.6\% | 1.2\% |
| Computer Network Architects | \$129,800 | \$137,000 | \$189,000 | \$195,000 | 3.6\% | 0.4\% |
| Network and Computer Systems Administrators | \$96,700 | \$104,000 | \$164,000 | \$170,000 | 6.9\% | 0.7\% |
| Database Administrators and Architects | \$113,500 | \$120,000 | \$179,000 | \$184,000 | 2.7\% | 0.3\% |
| Computer Programmers | \$83,000 | \$89,000 | \$141,000 | \$146,000 | 4.8\% | 0.5\% |
| Software Developers and Software Quality Assurance Analysts | \$124,800 | \$132,000 | \$197,000 | \$202,000 | 33.1\% | 3.3\% |
| Web Developers and Digital Interface Designers | \$83,100 | \$89,000 | \$141,000 | \$146,000 | 3.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Computer Occupations, All Other | \$94,000 | \$101,000 | \$159,000 | \$165,000 | 7.5\% | 0.8\% |
| Operations Research Analysts | \$94,600 | \$101,000 | \$160,000 | \$166,000 | 2.3\% | 0.2\% |
| Other Computer and Mathematical Occupations | \$107,000 | \$113,000 | \$169,000 | \$174,000 | 3.6\% | 0.4\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$107,000 | \$114,000 | \$173,000 | \$178,000 | 100.0\% | 10.0\% |

APPENDIX B TABLE 2
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 OFFICE WORKER OCCUPATIONS HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  | 2021 Avg. Worker | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | \% of Total Occupation | \% of Total Office |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One | Two | Three+ |  |  |
| Occupation ${ }^{3}$ | Compensation ${ }^{1}$ | Worker | Workers | Workers | Group ${ }^{2}$ | Workers |

Page 2 of 4

| Architecture and Engineering Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Architects, Except Landscape and Naval | \$117,300 | \$124,000 | \$185,000 | \$190,000 | 8.8\% | 0.4\% |
| Surveyors | \$100,100 | \$106,000 | \$158,000 | \$162,000 | 3.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Civil Engineers | \$124,400 | \$131,000 | \$197,000 | \$202,000 | 16.8\% | 0.8\% |
| Computer Hardware Engineers | \$156,800 | \$164,000 | \$212,000 | \$219,000 | 3.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Electrical Engineers | \$110,500 | \$117,000 | \$175,000 | \$179,000 | 6.7\% | 0.3\% |
| Electronics Engineers, Except Computer | \$121,200 | \$128,000 | \$191,000 | \$197,000 | 4.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Environmental Engineers | \$116,500 | \$123,000 | \$184,000 | \$189,000 | 2.7\% | 0.1\% |
| Industrial Engineers | \$101,200 | \$107,000 | \$160,000 | \$164,000 | 4.5\% | 0.2\% |
| Mechanical Engineers | \$103,200 | \$109,000 | \$163,000 | \$167,000 | 9.7\% | 0.4\% |
| Engineers, All Other | \$108,000 | \$114,000 | \$171,000 | \$175,000 | 5.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Architectural and Civil Drafters | \$67,400 | \$75,000 | \$125,000 | \$133,000 | 7.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Civil Engineering Technologists and Technicians | \$71,400 | \$79,000 | \$132,000 | \$141,000 | 3.3\% | 0.1\% |
| Electrical and Electronic Engineering Technologists | \$72,100 | \$80,000 | \$133,000 | \$142,000 | 3.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Surveying and Mapping Technicians | \$81,400 | \$87,000 | \$138,000 | \$143,000 | 3.4\% | 0.2\% |
| Calibration and Engineering Technologists, Technicians | \$77,500 | \$86,000 | \$143,000 | \$153,000 | 2.7\% | 0.1\% |
| Other Architecture and Engineering Occupations | \$105,600 | \$111,000 | \$167,000 | \$171,000 | 15.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$105,600 | \$112,000 | \$169,000 | \$175,000 | 100.0\% | 4.6\% |
| Life, Physical, and Social Science Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biochemists and Biophysicists | \$94,300 | \$101,000 | \$160,000 | \$165,000 | 6.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Biological Scientists, All Other | \$86,900 | \$93,000 | \$147,000 | \$152,000 | 3.6\% | 0.1\% |
| Medical Scientists, Except Epidemiologists | \$124,700 | \$132,000 | \$197,000 | \$202,000 | 15.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Chemists | \$90,100 | \$96,000 | \$153,000 | \$158,000 | 6.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Environmental Scientists and Specialists, Including Health | \$97,700 | \$105,000 | \$166,000 | \$171,000 | 7.9\% | 0.2\% |
| Geoscientists, Except Hydrologists and Geographers | \$102,500 | \$108,000 | \$162,000 | \$166,000 | 3.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Economists | \$89,700 | \$96,000 | \$152,000 | \$157,000 | 2.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Clinical, Counseling, and School Psychologists | \$114,500 | \$121,000 | \$181,000 | \$186,000 | 4.3\% | 0.1\% |
| Biological Technicians | \$53,400 | \$61,000 | \$107,000 | \$120,000 | 8.7\% | 0.2\% |
| Chemical Technicians | \$52,800 | \$61,000 | \$105,000 | \$119,000 | 4.7\% | 0.1\% |
| Environmental Science and Protection Technicians | \$50,900 | \$59,000 | \$102,000 | \$115,000 | 3.3\% | 0.1\% |
| Social Science Research Assistants | \$57,100 | \$66,000 | \$114,000 | \$129,000 | 5.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Life, Physical, and Social Science Technicians, All Other | \$51,400 | \$59,000 | \$103,000 | \$116,000 | 4.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Occupational Health and Safety Specialists | \$94,800 | \$102,000 | \$161,000 | \$166,000 | 3.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Other Life, Physical, and Social Science Occupations | \$87,600 | \$94,000 | \$148,000 | \$154,000 | 22.9\% | 0.5\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$87,600 | \$95,000 | \$149,000 | \$157,000 | 100.0\% | 2.1\% |
| Legal Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lawyers | \$156,100 | \$164,000 | \$211,000 | \$218,000 | 58.1\% | 2.0\% |
| Paralegals and Legal Assistants | \$59,400 | \$68,000 | \$118,000 | \$134,000 | 34.0\% | 1.2\% |
| Title Examiners, Abstractors, and Searchers | \$62,000 | \$69,000 | \$115,000 | \$122,000 | 5.8\% | 0.2\% |
| Other Legal Occupations | \$117,000 | \$123,000 | \$185,000 | \$190,000 | 2.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$117,000 | \$125,000 | \$173,000 | \$183,000 | 100.0\% | 3.5\% |

APPENDIX B TABLE 2
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 OFFICE WORKER OCCUPATIONS HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  | 2021 Avg. | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ | \% of Total | \% of Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupation $^{3}$ | Worker | One | Two | Three+ | Occupation | Office |
|  | $\underline{\text { Compensation }}{ }^{1}$ | $\underline{\text { Worker }}$ | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ | $\underline{\text { Group }}{ }^{2}$ | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ |
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Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media Occupations
Art Directors
Graphic Designers
Interior Designers
Merchandise Displayers and Window Trimmers
Producers and Directors
Public Relations Specialists
Editors
Technical Writers
Writers and Authors
Interpreters and Translators
Photographers
Other Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, \& Media Occupations

| $\$ 109,100$ | $\$ 115,000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 66,000$ |
| $\$ 57,700$ | $\$ 66,000$ |
| $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 47,000$ |
| $\$ 72,300$ | $\$ 80,000$ |
| $\$ 81,700$ | $\$ 87,000$ |
| $\$ 68,400$ | $\$ 76,000$ |
| $\$ 85,600$ | $\$ 92,000$ |
| $\$ 95,400$ | $\$ 102,000$ |
| $\$ 68,000$ | $\$ 75,000$ |
| $\$ 51,200$ | $\$ 59,000$ |
| $\$ 71,500$ | $\underline{\$ 79,000}$ |
| $\$ 71,500$ | $\$ 78,000$ |


| $\$ 172,000$ | $\$ 177,000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 111,000$ | $\$ 118,000$ |
| $\$ 115,000$ | $\$ 130,000$ |
| $\$ 87,000$ | $\$ 101,000$ |
| $\$ 134,000$ | $\$ 142,000$ |
| $\$ 138,000$ | $\$ 143,000$ |
| $\$ 127,000$ | $\$ 135,000$ |
| $\$ 145,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ |
| $\$ 162,000$ | $\$ 167,000$ |
| $\$ 126,000$ | $\$ 134,000$ |
| $\$ 102,000$ | $\$ 115,000$ |
| $\$ 132,000$ | $\$ 141,000$ |
| $\$ 128,000$ | $\$ 136,000$ |


| $4.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $14.9 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $5.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $6.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $3.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $27.3 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| $5.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $5.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $4.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $4.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $5.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 3 \%}$ | $\underline{0.3 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 \%}$ |

Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations
Dentists, General
Physician Assistants
Physical Therapists
Veterinarians
Registered Nurses
Nurse Practitioners
Family Medicine Physicians
Physicians, All Other; and Ophthalmologists
Dental Hygienists
Clinical Laboratory Technologists and Technicians
Veterinary Technologists and Technicians
Ophthalmic Medical Technicians
Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses
Medical Dosimetrists \& Records Specialists
Other Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations

Weighted Mean Annual Wage

| $\$ 198,100$ | $\$ 208,000$ | $\$ 268,000$ | $\$ 277,000$ | $6.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 142,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 206,000$ | $\$ 214,000$ | $2.9 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 113,800$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 180,000$ | $\$ 185,000$ | $4.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 118,600$ | $\$ 125,000$ | $\$ 187,000$ | $\$ 192,000$ | $2.9 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 137,100$ | $\$ 145,000$ | $\$ 199,000$ | $\$ 206,000$ | $13.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 151,200$ | $\$ 159,000$ | $\$ 205,000$ | $\$ 211,000$ | $4.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 279,100$ | $\$ 282,000$ | $\$ 313,000$ | $\$ 320,000$ | $3.2 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 265,600$ | $\$ 269,000$ | $\$ 298,000$ | $\$ 304,000$ | $8.2 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 104,900$ | $\$ 111,000$ | $\$ 166,000$ | $\$ 170,000$ | $12.7 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 76,400$ | $\$ 85,000$ | $\$ 141,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 46,400$ | $\$ 54,000$ | $\$ 101,000$ | $\$ 117,000$ | $4.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 41,100$ | $\$ 48,000$ | $\$ 89,000$ | $\$ 104,000$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 66,300$ | $\$ 73,000$ | $\$ 123,000$ | $\$ 131,000$ | $4.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 65,200$ | $\$ 72,000$ | $\$ 121,000$ | $\$ 128,000$ | $4.6 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 137,700$ | $\$ 145,000$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 207,000$ | $\underline{24.7 \%}$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 137,700$ | $\$ 145,000$ | $\$ 196,000$ | $\$ 203,000$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |

Healthcare Support Occupations
Home Health and Personal Care Aides
Nursing Assistants
Physical Therapist Assistants
Physical Therapist Aides
Massage Therapists
Dental Assistants
Medical Assistants
Medical Transcriptionists
Veterinary Assistants and Laboratory Animal Caretakers
Other Healthcare Support Occupations

Weighted Mean Annual Wage
$\$ 30,500$
$\$ 43,500$
$\$ 81,200$
$\$ 33,500$
$\$ 41,200$
$\$ 50,800$
$\$ 50,300$
$\$ 49,700$
$\$ 36,800$
$\$ 49,400$
$\$ 49,400$

## APPENDIX B TABLE 2 <br> AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 OFFICE WORKER OCCUPATIONS HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  | 2021 Avg. | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ | $\%$ of Total | $\%$ of Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupation $^{3}$ | Worker | One | Two | Three | Occupation | Office |

Page 4 of 4

| Sales and Related Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First-Line Supervisors of Non-Retail Sales Workers | \$76,100 | \$84,000 | \$141,000 | \$150,000 | 4.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Counter and Rental Clerks | \$41,300 | \$48,000 | \$90,000 | \$105,000 | 6.3\% | 0.5\% |
| Advertising Sales Agents | \$75,800 | \$84,000 | \$140,000 | \$149,000 | 3.5\% | 0.3\% |
| Insurance Sales Agents | \$102,900 | \$109,000 | \$163,000 | \$167,000 | 29.3\% | 2.1\% |
| Securities, Commodities, Financial Services Sales Agents | \$82,500 | \$88,000 | \$140,000 | \$145,000 | 9.2\% | 0.7\% |
| Sales Representatives of Services | \$72,600 | \$80,000 | \$134,000 | \$143,000 | 19.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Sales Reps, Wholesale \& Manufacturing, Technical | \$108,200 | \$114,000 | \$171,000 | \$176,000 | 2.8\% | 0.2\% |
| Sales Reps, Wholesale \& Manufacturing, Non-Technical | \$82,400 | \$88,000 | \$140,000 | \$144,000 | 3.5\% | 0.3\% |
| Real Estate Brokers | \$56,500 | \$65,000 | \$113,000 | \$127,000 | 2.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Real Estate Sales Agents | \$89,100 | \$95,000 | \$151,000 | \$156,000 | 7.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Telemarketers | \$34,300 | \$43,000 | \$81,000 | \$105,000 | 4.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Other Sales and Related Occupations | \$82,100 | \$88,000 | \$139,000 | \$144,000 | 8.7\% | 0.6\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$82,100 | \$89,000 | \$141,000 | \$148,000 | 100.0\% | 7.2\% |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Office and Administrative Support | \$66,800 | \$74,000 | \$124,000 | \$132,000 | 7.9\% | 2.0\% |
| Billing and Posting Clerks | \$46,700 | \$54,000 | \$101,000 | \$118,000 | 3.6\% | 0.9\% |
| Bookkeeping, Accounting, and Auditing Clerks | \$48,100 | \$56,000 | \$104,000 | \$122,000 | 7.5\% | 1.9\% |
| Tellers | \$38,000 | \$47,000 | \$90,000 | \$116,000 | 3.2\% | 0.8\% |
| Customer Service Representatives | \$44,900 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$114,000 | 19.3\% | 4.9\% |
| Receptionists and Information Clerks | \$37,800 | \$47,000 | \$90,000 | \$115,000 | 8.4\% | 2.1\% |
| Executive Secretaries and Executive Administrative Assistants | \$70,500 | \$78,000 | \$130,000 | \$139,000 | 3.1\% | 0.8\% |
| Legal Secretaries and Administrative Assistants | \$53,700 | \$62,000 | \$107,000 | \$121,000 | 2.5\% | 0.6\% |
| Medical Secretaries and Administrative Assistants | \$50,300 | \$58,000 | \$100,000 | \$113,000 | 4.9\% | 1.2\% |
| Secretaries and Admin Assistants, Except Legal, Medical, Executiv | \$44,900 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$114,000 | 8.7\% | 2.2\% |
| Insurance Claims and Policy Processing Clerks | \$49,800 | \$58,000 | \$108,000 | \$126,000 | 4.8\% | 1.2\% |
| Office Clerks, General | \$42,300 | \$49,000 | \$92,000 | \$107,000 | 11.8\% | 3.0\% |
| Other Office and Administrative Support Occupations | \$47,700 | \$56,000 | \$103,000 | \$121,000 | 14.2\% | 3.6\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$47,700 | \$55,000 | \$101,000 | \$118,000 | 100.0\% | 25.2\% |
| Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Mechanics, Installers, and Repairers | \$82,300 | \$88,000 | \$139,000 | \$144,000 | 8.5\% | 0.2\% |
| Telecommunications Equipment Installers and Repairers | \$67,800 | \$75,000 | \$125,000 | \$134,000 | 13.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Telecommunications Line Installers and Repairers | \$65,600 | \$73,000 | \$121,000 | \$129,000 | 6.9\% | 0.2\% |
| Maintenance and Repair Workers, General | \$48,300 | \$56,000 | \$105,000 | \$122,000 | 60.1\% | 1.5\% |
| Other Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations | \$55,800 | \$64,000 | \$111,000 | \$126,000 | 11.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$55,800 | \$63,000 | \$112,000 | \$126,000 | 100.0\% | 2.6\% |

[^5]
## APPENDIX B TABLE 3 <br> ESTIMATED WORKER OCCUPATION DISTRIBUTION, 2019 <br> MEDICAL WORKERS <br> HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS <br> COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  | Worker Occupation Dis <br> Medical |
| :--- | :---: |
| Major Occupations (2\% or more) | $4.4 \%$ |
| Management Occupations | $2.4 \%$ |
| Business and Financial Operations Occupations | $7.1 \%$ |
| Community and Social Service Occupations | $46.3 \%$ |
| Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations | $15.7 \%$ |
| Healthcare Support Occupations | $2.3 \%$ |
| Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations | $2.4 \%$ |
| Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations | $13.2 \%$ |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations | $6.3 \%$ |
| All Other Worker Occupations - Medical | $100.0 \%$ |

APPENDIX B TABLE 4
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 MEDICAL WORKER OCCUPATIONS HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| Occupation ${ }^{3}$ | 2021 Avg.WorkerCompensation | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | \% of Total Occupation Group ${ }^{2}$ | \% of Total <br> Medical <br> Workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One <br> Worker | Two <br> Workers | Three+ <br> Workers |  |  |
| Page 1 of 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chief Executives | \$149,700 | \$158,000 | \$218,000 | \$225,000 | 2.3\% | 0.1\% |
| General and Operations Managers | \$125,300 | \$132,000 | \$182,000 | \$189,000 | 11.3\% | 0.5\% |
| Administrative Services and Facilities Managers | \$113,800 | \$120,000 | \$180,000 | \$185,000 | 6.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Computer and Information Systems Managers | \$161,800 | \$170,000 | \$219,000 | \$226,000 | 3.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Financial Managers | \$138,400 | \$146,000 | \$201,000 | \$208,000 | 4.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Medical and Health Services Managers | \$147,000 | \$155,000 | \$214,000 | \$221,000 | 55.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Social and Community Service Managers | \$76,300 | \$84,000 | \$141,000 | \$150,000 | 5.7\% | 0.3\% |
| Personal Service, Entertainment and Recreation Managers | \$138,300 | \$146,000 | \$201,000 | \$208,000 | 4.5\% | 0.2\% |
| Other Management Occupations | \$137,500 | \$145,000 | \$200,000 | \$207,000 | 8.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$137,500 | \$145,000 | \$202,000 | \$209,000 | 100.0\% | 4.4\% |
| Business and Financial Operations Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buyers and Purchasing Agents | \$76,000 | \$84,000 | \$141,000 | \$150,000 | 5.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Compliance Officers | \$82,700 | \$89,000 | \$140,000 | \$145,000 | 6.7\% | 0.2\% |
| Human Resources Specialists | \$75,300 | \$83,000 | \$139,000 | \$148,000 | 16.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Management Analysts | \$79,300 | \$88,000 | \$147,000 | \$156,000 | 7.7\% | 0.2\% |
| Fundraisers | \$59,000 | \$68,000 | \$118,000 | \$133,000 | 2.5\% | 0.1\% |
| Compensation, Benefits, and Job Analysis Specialists | \$76,800 | \$85,000 | \$142,000 | \$151,000 | 2.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Training and Development Specialists | \$68,000 | \$75,000 | \$126,000 | \$134,000 | 9.4\% | 0.2\% |
| Market Research Analysts and Marketing Specialists | \$74,800 | \$83,000 | \$138,000 | \$147,000 | 6.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Project Management Specialists and Business Ops Specialists | \$83,500 | \$89,000 | \$142,000 | \$146,000 | 21.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Accountants and Auditors | \$84,800 | \$91,000 | \$144,000 | \$149,000 | 12.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Financial, Investment, Risk Analysts and Specialists | \$91,000 | \$97,000 | \$154,000 | \$160,000 | 5.4\% | 0.1\% |
| Other Business and Financial Operations Occupations | \$79,000 | \$87,000 | \$146,000 | \$156,000 | 5.5\% | 0.1\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$79,000 | \$86,000 | \$140,000 | \$148,000 | 100.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Community and Social Service Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marriage and Family Therapists | \$61,700 | \$68,000 | \$114,000 | \$121,000 | 4.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Substance Abuse, Disorder, and Mental Health Counselors | \$57,100 | \$66,000 | \$114,000 | \$129,000 | 35.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Child, Family, and School Social Workers | \$53,000 | \$61,000 | \$106,000 | \$119,000 | 4.4\% | 0.3\% |
| Healthcare Social Workers | \$76,700 | \$85,000 | \$142,000 | \$151,000 | 13.7\% | 1.0\% |
| Mental Health and Substance Abuse Social Workers | \$72,000 | \$80,000 | \$133,000 | \$142,000 | 15.0\% | 1.1\% |
| Health Education Specialists | \$73,900 | \$82,000 | \$137,000 | \$146,000 | 4.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Social and Human Service Assistants | \$50,900 | \$59,000 | \$102,000 | \$115,000 | 13.3\% | 0.9\% |
| Community Health Workers | \$65,700 | \$73,000 | \$122,000 | \$129,000 | 3.4\% | 0.2\% |
| Other Community and Social Service Occupations | \$62,500 | \$69,000 | \$116,000 | \$123,000 | 6.5\% | 0.5\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$62,500 | \$71,000 | \$120,000 | \$132,000 | 100.0\% | 7.1\% |

APPENDIX B TABLE 4
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 MEDICAL WORKER OCCUPATIONS HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| Occupation ${ }^{3}$ | 2021 Avg.WorkerCompensation | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | \% of Total Occupation Group ${ }^{2}$ | \% of Total <br> Medical <br> Workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One <br> Worker | Two <br> Workers | Three+ <br> Workers |  |  |
| Page 2 of 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respiratory Therapists | \$101,800 | \$107,000 | \$161,000 | \$165,000 | 2.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Registered Nurses | \$137,100 | \$145,000 | \$199,000 | \$206,000 | 44.8\% | 20.7\% |
| Nurse Practitioners | \$151,200 | \$159,000 | \$205,000 | \$211,000 | 2.4\% | 1.1\% |
| Physicians, All Other; and Ophthalmologists, Except Pediatric | \$265,600 | \$269,000 | \$298,000 | \$304,000 | 2.9\% | 1.4\% |
| Clinical Laboratory Technologists and Technicians | \$76,400 | \$85,000 | \$141,000 | \$150,000 | 5.2\% | 2.4\% |
| Radiologic Technologists and Technicians | \$108,700 | \$115,000 | \$172,000 | \$176,000 | 3.5\% | 1.6\% |
| Emergency Medical Technicians and Paramedics | \$54,500 | \$63,000 | \$109,000 | \$123,000 | 4.4\% | 2.1\% |
| Surgical Technologists | \$72,800 | \$81,000 | \$135,000 | \$143,000 | 2.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses | \$66,300 | \$73,000 | \$123,000 | \$131,000 | 7.7\% | 3.6\% |
| Medical Records Specialists and Health Technologists | \$65,200 | \$72,000 | \$121,000 | \$128,000 | 6.4\% | 3.0\% |
| Other Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations | \$117,600 | \$124,000 | \$186,000 | \$191,000 | 18.3\% | 8.5\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$117,600 | \$125,000 | \$179,000 | \$186,000 | 100.0\% | 46.3\% |
| Healthcare Support Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Healthcare Support Occupations | \$37,000 | \$46,000 | \$88,000 | \$113,000 | 100.0\% | 15.7\% |
| Home Health and Personal Care Aides | \$30,500 | \$38,000 | \$72,000 | \$93,000 | 6.8\% | 1.1\% |
| Nursing Assistants | \$43,500 | \$51,000 | \$94,000 | \$110,000 | 51.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Orderlies | \$56,200 | \$65,000 | \$112,000 | \$127,000 | 2.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Medical Assistants | \$50,300 | \$58,000 | \$100,000 | \$113,000 | 20.1\% | 3.2\% |
| Medical Equipment Preparers | \$67,300 | \$74,000 | \$125,000 | \$133,000 | 3.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Phlebotomists | \$49,500 | \$58,000 | \$107,000 | \$125,000 | 7.0\% | 1.1\% |
| Healthcare Support Workers, All Other | \$51,900 | \$60,000 | \$104,000 | \$117,000 | 2.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Other Healthcare Support Occupations | \$41,200 | \$48,000 | \$89,000 | \$104,000 | -92.8\% | -14.6\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$41,200 | \$51,000 | \$95,000 | \$120,000 | 100.0\% | 15.7\% |
| Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Food Prep and Serving Workers | \$43,200 | \$50,000 | \$94,000 | \$109,000 | 6.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Cooks, Institution and Cafeteria | \$38,700 | \$48,000 | \$92,000 | \$118,000 | 28.5\% | 0.7\% |
| Food Preparation Workers | \$33,200 | \$41,000 | \$79,000 | \$101,000 | 11.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Fast Food and Counter Workers | \$30,600 | \$38,000 | \$72,000 | \$93,000 | 11.6\% | 0.3\% |
| Dining Room and Cafeteria Attendants and Bartender Helpers | \$30,400 | \$38,000 | \$72,000 | \$93,000 | 2.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Other Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations | \$36,300 | \$45,000 | \$86,000 | \$111,000 | 40.6\% | 0.9\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$36,300 | \$45,000 | \$86,000 | \$109,000 | 100.0\% | 2.3\% |

## APPENDIX B TABLE 4 <br> AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 MEDICAL WORKER OCCUPATIONS HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS <br> COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  | 2021 Avg. | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ | \% of Total | \% of Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupation ${ }^{3}$ | Worker | One | Two | Three+ | Occupation | Medical |
|  | $\underline{\text { Compensation }}{ }^{1}$ | $\underline{\text { Worker }}$ | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ | $\underline{\text { Group }}{ }^{2}$ | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ |
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| Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervisors of Housekeeping and Janitorial Workers | \$53,300 | \$61,000 | \$106,000 | \$120,000 | 4.4\% | 0.1\% |
| Janitors and Cleaners, Except Housekeeping Cleaners | \$38,500 | \$48,000 | \$91,000 | \$117,000 | 32.6\% | 0.8\% |
| Maids and Housekeeping Cleaners | \$40,000 | \$47,000 | \$87,000 | \$101,000 | 61.4\% | 1.5\% |
| Other Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance | \$40,100 | \$47,000 | \$87,000 | \$102,000 | 1.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$40,100 | \$48,000 | \$89,000 | \$107,000 | 100.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Office and Admin Support Workers | \$66,800 | \$74,000 | \$124,000 | \$132,000 | 7.7\% | 1.0\% |
| Billing and Posting Clerks | \$46,700 | \$54,000 | \$101,000 | \$118,000 | 7.2\% | 0.9\% |
| Bookkeeping, Accounting, and Auditing Clerks | \$48,100 | \$56,000 | \$104,000 | \$122,000 | 3.4\% | 0.5\% |
| Customer Service Representatives | \$44,900 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$114,000 | 10.5\% | 1.4\% |
| Interviewers, Except Eligibility and Loan | \$52,500 | \$60,000 | \$105,000 | \$118,000 | 6.2\% | 0.8\% |
| Receptionists and Information Clerks | \$37,800 | \$47,000 | \$90,000 | \$115,000 | 10.4\% | 1.4\% |
| Executive Secretaries and Executive Admi Assistants | \$70,500 | \$78,000 | \$130,000 | \$139,000 | 2.4\% | 0.3\% |
| Medical Secretaries and Administrative Assistants | \$50,300 | \$58,000 | \$100,000 | \$113,000 | 21.8\% | 2.9\% |
| Secretaries and Admin Assistants | \$44,900 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$114,000 | 7.9\% | 1.0\% |
| Office Clerks, General | \$42,300 | \$49,000 | \$92,000 | \$107,000 | 9.9\% | 1.3\% |
| Other Office and Administrative Support Occupations | \$48,600 | \$57,000 | \$105,000 | \$123,000 | 12.5\% | 1.6\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$48,600 | \$56,000 | \$101,000 | \$117,000 | 100.0\% | 13.2\% |

[^6]
## APPENDIX B TABLE 5 <br> ESTIMATED WORKER OCCUPATION DISTRIBUTION, 2019 <br> RETAIL WORKERS <br> HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

## Major Occupations (2\% or more)

Management Occupations 2.6\%
Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations
39.1\%
Personal Care and Service Occupations 5.7\%
Sales and Related Occupations 28.5\%
Office and Administrative Support Occupations 5.4\%
Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations 2.9\%
Production Occupations 2.2\%
Transportation and Material Moving Occupations 8.9\%
All Other Worker Occupations - Retail 4.6\%
TOTAL 100.0\%

## Worker Occupation Distribution Retail

APPENDIX B TABLE 6
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021
RETAIL WORKER OCCUPATIONS
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| Occupation ${ }^{3}$ | 2021 Avg. | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | \% of Total Occupation Group ${ }^{2}$ | \% of Total Retail Workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Worker Compensation ${ }^{1}$ | One <br> Worker | Two <br> Workers | Three+ <br> Workers |  |  |
| Page 1 of 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General and Operations Managers | \$125,300 | \$132,000 | \$182,000 | \$189,000 | 55.1\% | 1.5\% |
| Sales Managers | \$143,000 | \$151,000 | \$208,000 | \$215,000 | 9.3\% | 0.2\% |
| Financial Managers | \$138,400 | \$146,000 | \$201,000 | \$208,000 | 2.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Food Service Managers | \$55,600 | \$64,000 | \$111,000 | \$125,000 | 25.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Personal Service Managers; Entertainment and Rec Managers | \$138,300 | \$146,000 | \$201,000 | \$208,000 | 2.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Other Management Occupations | \$109,000 | \$115,000 | \$172,000 | \$177,000 | 6.3\% | 0.2\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$109,000 | \$116,000 | \$167,000 | \$175,000 | 100.0\% | 2.6\% |
| Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Food Preparation and Serving Workers | \$43,200 | \$50,000 | \$94,000 | \$109,000 | 7.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Cooks, Fast Food | \$29,800 | \$40,000 | \$83,000 | \$108,000 | 4.7\% | 1.8\% |
| Cooks, Restaurant | \$32,800 | \$41,000 | \$78,000 | \$100,000 | 11.3\% | 4.4\% |
| Food Preparation Workers | \$33,200 | \$41,000 | \$79,000 | \$101,000 | 6.2\% | 2.4\% |
| Bartenders | \$33,900 | \$42,000 | \$80,000 | \$103,000 | 4.1\% | 1.6\% |
| Fast Food and Counter Workers | \$30,600 | \$38,000 | \$72,000 | \$93,000 | 32.1\% | 12.5\% |
| Waiters and Waitresses | \$34,900 | \$43,000 | \$83,000 | \$106,000 | 20.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Dining Room and Cafeteria Attendants and Bartender Helpers | \$30,400 | \$38,000 | \$72,000 | \$93,000 | 3.0\% | 1.2\% |
| Dishwashers | \$29,400 | \$40,000 | \$82,000 | \$106,000 | 3.8\% | 1.5\% |
| Hosts and Hostesses, Restaurant, Lounge, and Coffee Shop | \$31,200 | \$39,000 | \$74,000 | \$95,000 | 3.5\% | 1.4\% |
| Other Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations | \$33,000 | \$41,000 | \$78,000 | \$101,000 | 3.3\% | 1.3\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$33,000 | \$41,000 | \$79,000 | \$100,000 | 100.0\% | 39.1\% |
| Personal Care and Service Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisors of Personal Service and Entertainment and Rec Worker | \$47,200 | \$55,000 | \$102,000 | \$119,000 | 5.5\% | 0.3\% |
| Animal Caretakers | \$33,200 | \$41,000 | \$79,000 | \$101,000 | 5.9\% | 0.3\% |
| Ushers, Lobby Attendants, and Ticket Takers | \$31,900 | \$40,000 | \$76,000 | \$97,000 | 4.4\% | 0.3\% |
| Amusement and Recreation Attendants | \$31,500 | \$39,000 | \$75,000 | \$96,000 | 7.7\% | 0.4\% |
| Hairdressers, Hairstylists, and Cosmetologists | \$36,100 | \$45,000 | \$86,000 | \$110,000 | 26.4\% | 1.5\% |
| Manicurists and Pedicurists | \$31,700 | \$39,000 | \$75,000 | \$97,000 | 8.1\% | 0.5\% |
| Skincare Specialists | \$37,400 | \$46,000 | \$89,000 | \$114,000 | 3.2\% | 0.2\% |
| Childcare Workers | \$31,800 | \$40,000 | \$75,000 | \$97,000 | 4.6\% | 0.3\% |
| Exercise Trainers and Group Fitness Instructors | \$61,900 | \$68,000 | \$115,000 | \$122,000 | 26.5\% | 1.5\% |
| Recreation Workers | \$33,600 | \$42,000 | \$80,000 | \$102,000 | 2.6\% | 0.1\% |
| Other Personal Care and Service Occupations | \$42,600 | \$50,000 | \$92,000 | \$108,000 | 5.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$42,600 | \$50,000 | \$92,000 | \$110,000 | 100.0\% | 5.7\% |
| Sales and Related Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Retail Sales Workers | \$50,700 | \$58,000 | \$101,000 | \$114,000 | 11.6\% | 3.3\% |
| Cashiers | \$34,000 | \$42,000 | \$81,000 | \$104,000 | 34.6\% | 9.9\% |
| Counter and Rental Clerks | \$41,300 | \$48,000 | \$90,000 | \$105,000 | 3.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Parts Salespersons | \$41,900 | \$49,000 | \$91,000 | \$106,000 | 2.4\% | 0.7\% |
| Retail Salespersons | \$35,600 | \$44,000 | \$84,000 | \$108,000 | 43.9\% | 12.5\% |
| Other Sales and Related Occupations | \$37,200 | \$46,000 | \$88,000 | \$113,000 | 4.6\% | 1.3\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$37,200 | \$45,000 | \$85,000 | \$107,000 | 100.0\% | 28.5\% |

APPENDIX B TABLE 6
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021
RETAIL WORKER OCCUPATIONS
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^7]
## APPENDIX B TABLE 7 <br> ESTIMATED WORKER OCCUPATION DISTRIBUTION, 2019 <br> HOTEL WORKERS <br> HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  |  | Worker Occupation Hotel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Occupations (2\% or more) |  |  |
| Management Occupations |  | 4.5\% |
| Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations |  | 25.0\% |
| Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations |  | 30.7\% |
| Personal Care and Service Occupations |  | 4.1\% |
| Sales and Related Occupations |  | 2.5\% |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations |  | 19.7\% |
| Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations |  | 5.6\% |
| Production Occupations |  | 2.5\% |
| All Other Worker Occupations - Hotel |  | 5.4\% |
|  | TOTAL | 100.0\% |

APPENDIX B TABLE 8
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021
HOTEL WORKER OCCUPATIONS
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| Occupation ${ }^{3}$ | 2021 Avg. | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | \% of Total Occupation Group ${ }^{2}$ | \% of Total Hotel <br> Workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Worker Compensation ${ }^{1}$ | One <br> Worker | Two <br> Workers | Three+ <br> Workers |  |  |
| Page 1 of 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General and Operations Managers | \$125,300 | \$132,000 | \$182,000 | \$189,000 | 21.1\% | 1.0\% |
| Sales Managers | \$143,000 | \$151,000 | \$208,000 | \$215,000 | 7.7\% | 0.3\% |
| Administrative Services and Facilities Managers | \$113,800 | \$120,000 | \$180,000 | \$185,000 | 4.4\% | 0.2\% |
| Financial Managers | \$138,400 | \$146,000 | \$201,000 | \$208,000 | 4.5\% | 0.2\% |
| Human Resources Managers | \$128,200 | \$135,000 | \$186,000 | \$193,000 | 2.3\% | 0.1\% |
| Food Service Managers | \$55,600 | \$64,000 | \$111,000 | \$125,000 | 9.6\% | 0.4\% |
| Lodging Managers | \$78,500 | \$87,000 | \$145,000 | \$155,000 | 43.1\% | 2.0\% |
| Personal Service Managers; Entertainment and Rec Managers | \$138,300 | \$146,000 | \$201,000 | \$208,000 | 3.4\% | 0.2\% |
| Other Management Occupations | \$99,400 | \$106,000 | \$168,000 | \$174,000 | 3.9\% | 0.2\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$99,400 | \$107,000 | \$162,000 | \$171,000 | 100.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chefs and Head Cooks | \$53,800 | \$62,000 | \$107,000 | \$121,000 | 2.8\% | 0.7\% |
| First-Line Supervisors of Food Preparation and Serving Workers | \$43,200 | \$50,000 | \$94,000 | \$109,000 | 6.0\% | 1.5\% |
| Cooks, Restaurant | \$32,800 | \$41,000 | \$78,000 | \$100,000 | 16.1\% | 4.0\% |
| Food Preparation Workers | \$33,200 | \$41,000 | \$79,000 | \$101,000 | 2.1\% | 0.5\% |
| Bartenders | \$33,900 | \$42,000 | \$80,000 | \$103,000 | 7.8\% | 1.9\% |
| Fast Food and Counter Workers | \$30,600 | \$38,000 | \$72,000 | \$93,000 | 4.6\% | 1.2\% |
| Waiters and Waitresses | \$34,900 | \$43,000 | \$83,000 | \$106,000 | 30.6\% | 7.6\% |
| Food Servers, Nonrestaurant | \$33,800 | \$42,000 | \$80,000 | \$103,000 | 6.2\% | 1.6\% |
| Dining Room and Cafeteria Attendants and Bartender Helpers | \$30,400 | \$38,000 | \$72,000 | \$93,000 | 12.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Dishwashers | \$29,400 | \$40,000 | \$82,000 | \$106,000 | 6.1\% | 1.5\% |
| Hosts and Hostesses, Restaurant, Lounge, and Coffee Shop | \$31,200 | \$39,000 | \$74,000 | \$95,000 | 3.6\% | 0.9\% |
| Other Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations | \$34,200 | \$43,000 | \$81,000 | \$104,000 | 2.2\% | 0.6\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$34,200 | \$42,000 | \$81,000 | \$103,000 | 100.0\% | 25.0\% |
| Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Housekeeping and Janitorial Workers | \$53,300 | \$61,000 | \$106,000 | \$120,000 | 6.3\% | 1.9\% |
| Janitors and Cleaners, Except Maids and Housekeeping | \$38,500 | \$48,000 | \$91,000 | \$117,000 | 5.5\% | 1.7\% |
| Maids and Housekeeping Cleaners | \$40,000 | \$47,000 | \$87,000 | \$101,000 | 85.8\% | 26.3\% |
| Other Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupation | \$40,800 | \$48,000 | \$88,000 | \$103,000 | 2.4\% | 0.8\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$40,800 | \$48,000 | \$88,000 | \$103,000 | 100.0\% | 30.7\% |
| Personal Care and Service Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisors of Personal Service, Entertainment and Recr Workers | \$47,200 | \$55,000 | \$102,000 | \$119,000 | 5.5\% | 0.2\% |
| Amusement and Recreation Attendants | \$31,500 | \$39,000 | \$75,000 | \$96,000 | 17.2\% | 0.7\% |
| Locker Room, Coatroom, and Dressing Room Attendants | \$35,500 | \$44,000 | \$84,000 | \$108,000 | 4.7\% | 0.2\% |
| Skincare Specialists | \$37,400 | \$46,000 | \$89,000 | \$114,000 | 3.4\% | 0.1\% |
| Baggage Porters and Bellhops | \$36,200 | \$45,000 | \$86,000 | \$110,000 | 29.0\% | 1.2\% |
| Concierges | \$32,900 | \$41,000 | \$78,000 | \$100,000 | 17.2\% | 0.7\% |
| Exercise Trainers and Group Fitness Instructors | \$61,900 | \$68,000 | \$115,000 | \$122,000 | 4.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Recreation Workers | \$33,600 | \$42,000 | \$80,000 | \$102,000 | 7.5\% | 0.3\% |
| Other Personal Care and Service Occupations | \$36,300 | \$45,000 | \$86,000 | \$111,000 | 11.4\% | 0.5\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$36,300 | \$45,000 | \$84,000 | \$106,000 | 100.0\% | 4.1\% |

APPENDIX B TABLE 8
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021
HOTEL WORKER OCCUPATIONS
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO


Page 2 of 2

| Sales and Related Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First-Line Supervisors of Retail Sales Workers | \$50,700 | \$58,000 | \$101,000 | \$114,000 | 3.9\% | 0.1\% |
| First-Line Supervisors of Non-Retail Sales Workers | \$76,100 | \$84,000 | \$141,000 | \$150,000 | 3.7\% | 0.1\% |
| Cashiers | \$34,000 | \$42,000 | \$81,000 | \$104,000 | 17.7\% | 0.4\% |
| Retail Salespersons | \$35,600 | \$44,000 | \$84,000 | \$108,000 | 11.8\% | 0.3\% |
| Sales Reps of Services, Except Advertising, Insurance, Financial | \$72,600 | \$80,000 | \$134,000 | \$143,000 | 55.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Sales Reps, Wholesale \& Manufacturing, Except Tech \& Scientific | \$82,400 | \$88,000 | \$140,000 | \$144,000 | 2.3\% | 0.1\% |
| Other Sales and Related Occupations | \$60,200 | \$67,000 | \$111,000 | \$119,000 | 5.6\% | 0.1\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$60,200 | \$68,000 | \$117,000 | \$130,000 | 100.0\% | 2.5\% |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisors of Office and Admin Support Workers | \$66,800 | \$74,000 | \$124,000 | \$132,000 | 9.5\% | 1.9\% |
| Bookkeeping, Accounting, and Auditing Clerks | \$48,100 | \$56,000 | \$104,000 | \$122,000 | 5.5\% | 1.1\% |
| Hotel, Motel, and Resort Desk Clerks | \$29,700 | \$40,000 | \$83,000 | \$107,000 | 72.8\% | 14.3\% |
| Secretaries \& Admin Assistants, Except Legal, Medical, Executive | \$44,900 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$114,000 | 2.3\% | 0.4\% |
| Other Office and Administrative Support Occupations | \$35,100 | \$44,000 | \$83,000 | \$107,000 | 9.9\% | 1.9\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$35,100 | \$45,000 | \$88,000 | \$110,000 | 100.0\% | 19.7\% |
| Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Mechanics, Installers, and Repairers | \$82,300 | \$88,000 | \$139,000 | \$144,000 | 7.6\% | 0.4\% |
| Maintenance and Repair Workers, General | \$48,300 | \$56,000 | \$105,000 | \$122,000 | 89.5\% | 5.0\% |
| Other Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations | \$51,000 | \$59,000 | \$102,000 | \$115,000 | 2.8\% | 0.2\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$51,000 | \$59,000 | \$108,000 | \$123,000 | 100.0\% | 5.6\% |
| Production Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Production and Operating Workers | \$73,400 | \$81,000 | \$136,000 | \$145,000 | 2.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Bakers | \$36,900 | \$46,000 | \$87,000 | \$112,000 | 6.2\% | 0.2\% |
| Laundry and Dry-Cleaning Workers | \$33,100 | \$41,000 | \$78,000 | \$101,000 | 85.9\% | 2.1\% |
| Other Production Occupations | \$34,300 | \$43,000 | \$81,000 | \$105,000 | 5.8\% | 0.1\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$34,300 | \$42,000 | \$80,000 | \$103,000 | 100.0\% | 2.5\% |

[^8]
## APPENDIX B TABLE 9 <br> ESTIMATED WORKER OCCUPATION DISTRIBUTION, 2019 <br> INDUSTRIAL WORKERS <br> HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS <br> COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

Worker Occupation Distribution Industrial
Major Occupations (2\% or more)
Management Occupations ..... 5.6\%
Business and Financial Operations Occupations$3.3 \%$
Architecture and Engineering Occupations ..... 3.3\%
Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations ..... 3.4\%
Sales and Related Occupations ..... 6.3\%
Office and Administrative Support Occupations ..... 8.9\%
Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations ..... 4.4\%
Production Occupations ..... 44.5\%
Transportation and Material Moving Occupations ..... 12.7\%
All Other Worker Occupations - Industrial ..... 7.5\%
TOTAL ..... 100.0\%

APPENDIX B TABLE 10
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 INDUSTRIAL WORKER OCCUPATIONS
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| Occupation ${ }^{3}$ | Compensation ${ }^{1}$ | Worker | Workers | Workers | Group ${ }^{2}$ | Workers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Page 1 of 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chief Executives | \$149,700 | \$158,000 | \$218,000 | \$225,000 | 2.4\% | 0.1\% |
| General and Operations Managers | \$125,300 | \$132,000 | \$182,000 | \$189,000 | 35.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Marketing Managers | \$154,900 | \$163,000 | \$210,000 | \$216,000 | 3.6\% | 0.2\% |
| Sales Managers | \$143,000 | \$151,000 | \$208,000 | \$215,000 | 7.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Administrative Services and Facilities Managers | \$113,800 | \$120,000 | \$180,000 | \$185,000 | 3.2\% | 0.2\% |
| Computer and Information Systems Managers | \$161,800 | \$170,000 | \$219,000 | \$226,000 | 3.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Financial Managers | \$138,400 | \$146,000 | \$201,000 | \$208,000 | 5.4\% | 0.3\% |
| Industrial Production Managers | \$115,800 | \$122,000 | \$183,000 | \$188,000 | 18.2\% | 1.0\% |
| Transportation, Storage, and Distribution Managers | \$95,800 | \$103,000 | \$162,000 | \$168,000 | 2.5\% | 0.1\% |
| Human Resources Managers | \$128,200 | \$135,000 | \$186,000 | \$193,000 | 2.3\% | 0.1\% |
| Architectural and Engineering Managers | \$169,900 | \$178,000 | \$230,000 | \$237,000 | 4.6\% | 0.3\% |
| Natural Sciences Managers | \$142,100 | \$150,000 | \$206,000 | \$214,000 | 4.5\% | 0.3\% |
| Personal Service Managers; Entertainment \& Rec Managers | \$138,300 | \$146,000 | \$201,000 | \$208,000 | 4.2\% | 0.2\% |
| Other Management Occupations | \$130,800 | \$138,000 | \$190,000 | \$197,000 | 4.2\% | 0.2\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$130,800 | \$138,000 | \$192,000 | \$199,000 | 100.0\% | 5.6\% |
| Business and Financial Operations Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buyers and Purchasing Agents | \$76,000 | \$84,000 | \$141,000 | \$150,000 | 15.7\% | 0.5\% |
| Compliance Officers | \$82,700 | \$89,000 | \$140,000 | \$145,000 | 5.7\% | 0.2\% |
| Cost Estimators | \$79,900 | \$88,000 | \$148,000 | \$157,000 | 5.9\% | 0.2\% |
| Human Resources Specialists | \$75,300 | \$83,000 | \$139,000 | \$148,000 | 10.7\% | 0.4\% |
| Logisticians | \$86,400 | \$93,000 | \$146,000 | \$151,000 | 7.2\% | 0.2\% |
| Management Analysts | \$79,300 | \$88,000 | \$147,000 | \$156,000 | 3.4\% | 0.1\% |
| Training and Development Specialists | \$68,000 | \$75,000 | \$126,000 | \$134,000 | 3.5\% | 0.1\% |
| Market Research Analysts and Marketing Specialists | \$74,800 | \$83,000 | \$138,000 | \$147,000 | 11.5\% | 0.4\% |
| Project Management and Business Ops Specialists, All Other | \$83,500 | \$89,000 | \$142,000 | \$146,000 | 11.6\% | 0.4\% |
| Accountants and Auditors | \$84,800 | \$91,000 | \$144,000 | \$149,000 | 18.5\% | 0.6\% |
| Financial, Investment Analysts, Financial Specialists | \$91,000 | \$97,000 | \$154,000 | \$160,000 | 3.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Other Business and Financial Operations Occupations | \$80,100 | \$86,000 | \$136,000 | \$140,000 | 3.3\% | 0.1\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$80,100 | \$87,000 | \$142,000 | \$149,000 | 100.0\% | 3.3\% |
| Architecture and Engineering Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bioengineers and Biomedical Engineers | \$97,500 | \$104,000 | \$165,000 | \$171,000 | 2.7\% | 0.1\% |
| Computer Hardware Engineers | \$156,800 | \$164,000 | \$212,000 | \$219,000 | 4.5\% | 0.1\% |
| Electrical Engineers | \$110,500 | \$117,000 | \$175,000 | \$179,000 | 6.3\% | 0.2\% |
| Electronics Engineers, Except Computer | \$121,200 | \$128,000 | \$191,000 | \$197,000 | 10.7\% | 0.4\% |
| Industrial Engineers | \$101,200 | \$107,000 | \$160,000 | \$164,000 | 28.5\% | 0.9\% |
| Mechanical Engineers | \$103,200 | \$109,000 | \$163,000 | \$167,000 | 11.2\% | 0.4\% |
| Engineers, All Other | \$108,000 | \$114,000 | \$171,000 | \$175,000 | 3.7\% | 0.1\% |
| Mechanical Drafters | \$60,200 | \$67,000 | \$111,000 | \$119,000 | 2.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Electrical \& Electronic Engineering Technologists \& Technicians | \$72,100 | \$80,000 | \$133,000 | \$142,000 | 8.4\% | 0.3\% |
| Industrial Engineering Technologists and Technicians | \$68,300 | \$76,000 | \$126,000 | \$134,000 | 8.7\% | 0.3\% |
| Calibration \& Engineering Technologists \& Technicians | \$77,500 | \$86,000 | \$143,000 | \$153,000 | 3.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Other Architecture and Engineering Occupations | \$99,700 | \$107,000 | \$169,000 | \$175,000 | 10.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$99,700 | \$106,000 | \$162,000 | \$167,000 | 100.0\% | 3.3\% |

APPENDIX B TABLE 10
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 INDUSTRIAL WORKER OCCUPATIONS HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

APPENDIX B TABLE 10
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021
INDUSTRIAL WORKER OCCUPATIONS
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  | 2021 Avg. | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ | \% of Total | \% of Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation $^{3}$ | Worker | One | Two | Three+ | Occupation | Industrial |
|  | $\underline{\text { Compensation }}{ }^{1}$ | Worker | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ | $\underline{\text { Group }^{2}}$ | Workers |

Page 3 of 3
Production Occupations

| First-Line Supervisors of Production and Operating Workers | \$73,400 | \$81,000 | \$136,000 | \$145,000 | 7.6\% | 3.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous Assemblers and Fabricators | \$38,700 | \$48,000 | \$92,000 | \$118,000 | 7.1\% | 3.1\% |
| Bakers | \$36,900 | \$46,000 | \$87,000 | \$112,000 | 4.5\% | 2.0\% |
| Food Batchmakers | \$38,800 | \$48,000 | \$92,000 | \$118,000 | 5.7\% | 2.5\% |
| Machinists | \$48,700 | \$57,000 | \$106,000 | \$123,000 | 4.2\% | 1.9\% |
| Printing Press Operators | \$41,500 | \$48,000 | \$90,000 | \$105,000 | 7.5\% | 3.3\% |
| Print Binding and Finishing Workers | \$40,900 | \$48,000 | \$89,000 | \$104,000 | 2.4\% | 1.1\% |
| Woodworking Machine Setters, Operators, Tenders | \$39,500 | \$49,000 | \$94,000 | \$120,000 | 3.5\% | 1.6\% |
| Separating, Filtering, Clarifying, Machine Setters, Tenders | \$46,000 | \$54,000 | \$100,000 | \$116,000 | 2.9\% | 1.3\% |
| Mixing \& Blending Machine Setters, Operators, Tenders | \$46,000 | \$54,000 | \$100,000 | \$116,000 | 2.5\% | 1.1\% |
| Inspectors, Testers, Sorters, Samplers, and Weighers | \$49,100 | \$57,000 | \$106,000 | \$124,000 | 5.1\% | 2.3\% |
| Packaging and Filling Machine Operators and Tenders | \$37,600 | \$47,000 | \$89,000 | \$115,000 | 11.2\% | 5.0\% |
| Paper Goods Machine Setters, Operators, and Tenders | \$38,300 | \$48,000 | \$91,000 | \$117,000 | 5.0\% | 2.2\% |
| Helpers--Production Workers | \$34,100 | \$42,000 | \$81,000 | \$104,000 | 4.1\% | 1.8\% |
| Other Production Occupations | \$44,000 | \$51,000 | \$95,000 | \$111,000 | 26.7\% | 11.9\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$44,000 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$116,000 | 100.0\% | 44.5\% |
| Transportation and Material Moving Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisors of Transportation \& Material Moving Workers | \$63,200 | \$70,000 | \$117,000 | \$124,000 | 3.5\% | 0.4\% |
| Driver/Sales Workers | \$39,700 | \$49,000 | \$94,000 | \$121,000 | 5.5\% | 0.7\% |
| Heavy and Tractor-Trailer Truck Drivers | \$55,400 | \$64,000 | \$110,000 | \$125,000 | 16.1\% | 2.0\% |
| Light Truck Drivers | \$42,700 | \$50,000 | \$93,000 | \$108,000 | 6.4\% | 0.8\% |
| Industrial Truck and Tractor Operators | \$43,700 | \$51,000 | \$95,000 | \$111,000 | 14.6\% | 1.9\% |
| Cleaners of Vehicles and Equipment | \$33,400 | \$42,000 | \$79,000 | \$102,000 | 3.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Laborers and Freight, Stock, and Material Movers, Hand | \$39,300 | \$49,000 | \$93,000 | \$120,000 | 24.1\% | 3.1\% |
| Machine Feeders and Offbearers | \$35,100 | \$44,000 | \$83,000 | \$107,000 | 4.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Packers and Packagers, Hand | \$32,300 | \$40,000 | \$77,000 | \$98,000 | 15.5\% | 2.0\% |
| Stockers and Order Fillers | \$34,600 | \$43,000 | \$82,000 | \$105,000 | 5.1\% | 0.6\% |
| Other Transportation and Material Moving Occupations | \$42,000 | \$49,000 | \$91,000 | \$106,000 | 1.6\% | 0.2\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$42,000 | \$50,000 | \$93,000 | \$113,000 | 100.0\% | 12.7\% |

[^9]
## APPENDIX B TABLE 11 <br> ESTIMATED WORKER OCCUPATION DISTRIBUTION, 2019 <br> R\&D WORKERS <br> HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  | Worker Occupation R\&D |
| :---: | :---: |
| Major Occupations (2\% or more) |  |
| Management Occupations | 15.4\% |
| Business and Financial Operations Occupations | 10.1\% |
| Computer and Mathematical Occupations | 12.7\% |
| Architecture and Engineering Occupations | 16.0\% |
| Life, Physical, and Social Science Occupations | 25.9\% |
| Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations | 2.5\% |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations | 7.7\% |
| All Other Worker Occupations - R\&D | 9.8\% |
|  | 100.0\% |

APPENDIX B TABLE 12
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021
R\&D WORKER OCCUPATIONS
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

APPENDIX B TABLE 12
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021
R\&D WORKER OCCUPATIONS
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

APPENDIX B TABLE 12
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021
R\&D WORKER OCCUPATIONS

## HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO


[^10]
## APPENDIX B TABLE 13 <br> ESTIMATED WORKER OCCUPATION DISTRIBUTION, 2019 <br> WAREHOUSE WORKERS <br> HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS <br> COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| Major Occupations (2\% or more) | Worker Occupation Distribution <br> Warehouse |
| :--- | :---: |
| Management Occupations | $2.7 \%$ |
| Business and Financial Operations Occupations | $2.2 \%$ |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations | $13.1 \%$ |
| Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations | $2.8 \%$ |
| Production Occupations | $2.3 \%$ |
| Transportation and Material Moving Occupations | $72.7 \%$ |
| All Other Worker Occupations - Warehouse | $\underline{4.2 \%}$ |
|  | TOTAL |

APPENDIX B TABLE 14
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 WAREHOUSE WORKER OCCUPATIONS HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
Occupation ${ }^{3}$
Page 1 of 2
Management Occupations
General and Operations Managers
Sales Managers
Administrative Services and Facilities Managers
Financial Managers
Industrial Production Managers
Transportation, Storage, and Distribution Managers
Human Resources Managers
Personal Service, Entertainment and Recreation Managers
Other Management Occupations

Business and Financial Operations Occupations

| Buyers and Purchasing Agents | \$76,000 | \$84,000 | \$141,000 | \$150,000 | 13.3\% | 0.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance Officers | \$82,700 | \$89,000 | \$140,000 | \$145,000 | 2.8\% | 0.1\% |
| Human Resources Specialists | \$75,300 | \$83,000 | \$139,000 | \$148,000 | 14.9\% | 0.3\% |
| Logisticians | \$86,400 | \$93,000 | \$146,000 | \$151,000 | 13.4\% | 0.3\% |
| Management Analysts | \$79,300 | \$88,000 | \$147,000 | \$156,000 | 2.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Training and Development Specialists | \$68,000 | \$75,000 | \$126,000 | \$134,000 | 16.3\% | 0.4\% |
| Market Research Analysts and Marketing Specialists | \$74,800 | \$83,000 | \$138,000 | \$147,000 | 4.6\% | 0.1\% |
| Project Management and Business Ops Specialists | \$83,500 | \$89,000 | \$142,000 | \$146,000 | 19.3\% | 0.4\% |
| Accountants and Auditors | \$84,800 | \$91,000 | \$144,000 | \$149,000 | 8.9\% | 0.2\% |
| Financial, Investment, Risk Specialists | \$91,000 | \$97,000 | \$154,000 | \$160,000 | 2.4\% | 0.1\% |
| Other Business and Financial Operations Occupations | \$78,800 | \$87,000 | \$146,000 | \$155,000 | 1.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$78,800 | \$86,000 | \$140,000 | \$147,000 | 100.0\% | 2.2\% |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Office and Admin Support Workers | \$66,800 | \$74,000 | \$124,000 | \$132,000 | 10.5\% | 1.4\% |
| Bookkeeping, Accounting, and Auditing Clerks | \$48,100 | \$56,000 | \$104,000 | \$122,000 | 2.4\% | 0.3\% |
| Customer Service Representatives | \$44,900 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$114,000 | 12.4\% | 1.6\% |
| Order Clerks | \$48,900 | \$57,000 | \$106,000 | \$124,000 | 3.9\% | 0.5\% |
| Production, Planning, and Expediting Clerks | \$54,900 | \$63,000 | \$109,000 | \$124,000 | 6.9\% | 0.9\% |
| Shipping, Receiving, and Inventory Clerks | \$38,800 | \$48,000 | \$92,000 | \$118,000 | 35.8\% | 4.7\% |
| Weighers, Measurers, Checkers, Samplers, Recordkeeping | \$37,900 | \$47,000 | \$90,000 | \$115,000 | 6.6\% | 0.9\% |
| Secretaries \& Admin Assistants, Except Legal, Medical, Executive | \$44,900 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$114,000 | 3.8\% | 0.5\% |
| Office Clerks, General | \$42,300 | \$49,000 | \$92,000 | \$107,000 | 8.5\% | 1.1\% |
| Other Office and Administrative Support Occupations | \$45,300 | \$53,000 | \$98,000 | \$115,000 | 9.2\% | 1.2\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$45,300 | \$53,000 | \$99,000 | \$118,000 | 100.0\% | 13.1\% |

APPENDIX B TABLE 14
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 WAREHOUSE WORKER OCCUPATIONS HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

## Occupation ${ }^{3}$

| 2021 Avg. | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ | \% of Total | \% of Total |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Worker | One | Two | Three + | Occupation | Warehouse |
| Compensation ${ }^{1}$ | $\underline{\text { Worker }}$ | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ | Workers | $\underline{\text { Group }}{ }^{2}$ | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ |

## Page 2 of 2

Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations
First-Line Supervisors of Mechanics, Installers, Repairers
Bus and Truck Mechanics and Diesel Engine Specialists
Mobile Heavy Equipment Mechanics, Except Engines
Industrial Machinery Mechanics
Maintenance Workers, Machinery
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General
Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Workers, All Other
Other Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations

Wroduction Occupations
First-Line Supervisors of Production and Operating Workers Annual Wage
Miscellaneous Assemblers and Fabricators
Sewing Machine Operators
Inspectors, Testers, Sorters, Samplers, and Weighers
Packaging and Filling Machine Operators and Tenders
Helpers--Production Workers
Production Workers, All Other
Other Production Occupations

Weighted Mean Annual Wage

| $\$ 82,300$ | $\$ 88,000$ | $\$ 139,000$ | $\$ 144,000$ | $8.9 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 60,200$ | $\$ 67,000$ | $\$ 111,000$ | $\$ 119,000$ | $7.6 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 64,900$ | $\$ 72,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 128,000$ | $2.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 66,300$ | $\$ 73,000$ | $\$ 123,000$ | $\$ 131,000$ | $2.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 57,500$ | $\$ 66,000$ | $\$ 115,000$ | $\$ 130,000$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 48,300$ | $\$ 56,000$ | $\$ 105,000$ | $\$ 122,000$ | $62.6 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 49,100$ | $\$ 57,000$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 124,000$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 533,900$ | $\frac{\$ 62,000}{}$ | $\$ 108,000$ | $\$ 121,000$ | $\frac{10.0 \%}{}$ | $\underline{0.3 \%}$ |
| $\$ 53,900$ | $\$ 61,000$ | $\$ 110,000$ | $\$ 124,000$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 \%}$ |

Transportation and Material Moving Occupations

| Supervisors of Transportation \& Material Moving Workers | \$63,200 | \$70,000 | \$117,000 | \$124,000 | 5.3\% | 3.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heavy and Tractor-Trailer Truck Drivers | \$55,400 | \$64,000 | \$110,000 | \$125,000 | 6.6\% | 4.8\% |
| Industrial Truck and Tractor Operators | \$43,700 | \$51,000 | \$95,000 | \$111,000 | 22.2\% | 16.1\% |
| Laborers and Freight, Stock, and Material Movers, Hand | \$39,300 | \$49,000 | \$93,000 | \$120,000 | 34.1\% | 24.8\% |
| Packers and Packagers, Hand | \$32,300 | \$40,000 | \$77,000 | \$98,000 | 7.0\% | 5.1\% |
| Stockers and Order Fillers | \$34,600 | \$43,000 | \$82,000 | \$105,000 | 20.2\% | 14.7\% |
| Other Transportation and Material Moving Occupations | \$41,300 | \$48,000 | \$90,000 | \$105,000 | 4.6\% | 3.3\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$41,300 | \$50,000 | \$92,000 | \$113,000 | 100.0\% | 72.7\% |

[^11]
## APPENDIX B TABLE 15 <br> ESTIMATED WORKER OCCUPATION DISTRIBUTION, 2019 <br> RESIDENTIAL CARE WORKERS <br> HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS <br> COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| Major Occupations (2\% or more) | Worker Occupation Distribution <br> Residential Care |
| :--- | :---: |
| Management Occupations |  |
| Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations | $3.5 \%$ |
| Healthcare Support Occupations | $10.8 \%$ |
| Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations | $44.9 \%$ |
| Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations | $18.0 \%$ |
| Personal Care and Service Occupations | $6.0 \%$ |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations | $4.4 \%$ |
| Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations | $5.1 \%$ |
| All Other Worker Occupations - Residential Care | $2.5 \%$ |
|  | TOTAL |

APPENDIX B TABLE 16
AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 RESIDENTIAL CARE WORKER OCCUPATIONS
HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

## Occupation ${ }^{3}$ <br> Page 1 of 2

| 2021 Avg. | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ |  | \% of Total | \% of Total |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Worker | One | Two | Three + | Occupation | Res. Care |
| Compensation | Worker | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ | Workers | $\underline{\text { Group }}{ }^{2}$ | $\underline{\text { Workers }}$ |

Management Occupations
General and Operations Managers
Marketing Managers
Administrative Services and Facilities Managers
Food Service Managers
Medical and Health Services Managers
Social and Community Service Managers
Personal Servic, Entertainment, Recreation Managers
Other Management Occupations
Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations
Registered Nurses
Dietetic Technicians
Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses
Other Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations
Weighted Mean Annual Wage
Healthcare Support Occupations
Home Health and Personal Care Aides
Nursing Assistants
Medical Assistants
Other Healthcare Support Occupations

Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations

| First-Line Supervisors of Food Preparation and Serving Workers | \$43,200 | \$50,000 | \$94,000 | \$109,000 | 5.0\% | 0.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooks, Institution and Cafeteria | \$38,700 | \$48,000 | \$92,000 | \$118,000 | 24.6\% | 4.4\% |
| Food Preparation Workers | \$33,200 | \$41,000 | \$79,000 | \$101,000 | 5.7\% | 1.0\% |
| Fast Food and Counter Workers | \$30,600 | \$38,000 | \$72,000 | \$93,000 | 5.5\% | 1.0\% |
| Waiters and Waitresses | \$34,900 | \$43,000 | \$83,000 | \$106,000 | 8.2\% | 1.5\% |
| Food Servers, Nonrestaurant | \$33,800 | \$42,000 | \$80,000 | \$103,000 | 36.5\% | 6.6\% |
| Dining Room and Cafeteria Attendants and Bartender Helpers | \$30,400 | \$38,000 | \$72,000 | \$93,000 | 4.3\% | 0.8\% |
| Dishwashers | \$29,400 | \$40,000 | \$82,000 | \$106,000 | 6.0\% | 1.1\% |
| Other Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations | \$35,000 | \$44,000 | \$83,000 | \$107,000 | 4.2\% | 0.8\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$35,000 | \$43,000 | \$83,000 | \$106,000 | 100.0\% | 18.0\% |
| Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Housekeeping and Janitorial Workers | \$53,300 | \$61,000 | \$106,000 | \$120,000 | 4.8\% | 0.3\% |
| Janitors and Cleaners, Except Maids and Housekeeping Cleaners | \$38,500 | \$48,000 | \$91,000 | \$117,000 | 10.4\% | 0.6\% |
| Maids and Housekeeping Cleaners | \$40,000 | \$47,000 | \$87,000 | \$101,000 | 81.4\% | 4.9\% |
| Landscaping and Groundskeeping Workers | \$42,800 | \$50,000 | \$93,000 | \$108,000 | 3.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Other Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations | \$40,600 | \$47,000 | \$88,000 | \$103,000 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$40,600 | \$48,000 | \$89,0 | \$104,000 | 100.0 | 6.0 |

## APPENDIX B TABLE 16 <br> AVERAGE ANNUAL WORKER COMPENSATION AND ESTIMATED HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2021 RESIDENTIAL CARE WORKER OCCUPATIONS <br> HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2021 Avg. | Household Income Estimate ${ }^{4}$ | \% of Total | \% of Total |  |  |
| Occupation ${ }^{3}$ | Worker | One | Two | Three + | Occupation | Res. Care |

## Page 2 of 2

Personal Care and Service Occupations

| Supervisors of Personal Service \& Entertainment and Rec Workers | \$47,200 | \$55,000 | \$102,000 | \$119,000 | 18.8\% | 0.8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concierges | \$32,900 | \$41,000 | \$78,000 | \$100,000 | 9.3\% | 0.4\% |
| Exercise Trainers and Group Fitness Instructors | \$61,900 | \$68,000 | \$115,000 | \$122,000 | 2.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Recreation Workers | \$33,600 | \$42,000 | \$80,000 | \$102,000 | 54.8\% | 2.4\% |
| Other Personal Care and Service Occupations | \$37,200 | \$46,000 | \$88,000 | \$113,000 | 15.1\% | 0.7\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$37,200 | \$45,000 | \$86,000 | \$107,000 | 100.0\% | 4.4\% |
| Office and Administrative Support Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisors of Office and Admin Support Workers | \$66,800 | \$74,000 | \$124,000 | \$132,000 | 8.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Bookkeeping, Accounting, and Auditing Clerks | \$48,100 | \$56,000 | \$104,000 | \$122,000 | 7.1\% | 0.4\% |
| Customer Service Representatives | \$44,900 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$114,000 | 2.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Receptionists and Information Clerks | \$37,800 | \$47,000 | \$90,000 | \$115,000 | 37.9\% | 2.0\% |
| Executive Secretaries and Executive Administrative Assistants | \$70,500 | \$78,000 | \$130,000 | \$139,000 | 2.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Medical Secretaries and Administrative Assistants | \$50,300 | \$58,000 | \$100,000 | \$113,000 | 4.5\% | 0.2\% |
| Secretaries and Admin Assistants, Except Legal, Medical, Executive | \$44,900 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$114,000 | 12.8\% | 0.7\% |
| Office Clerks, General | \$42,300 | \$49,000 | \$92,000 | \$107,000 | 16.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Other Office and Administrative Support Occupations | \$44,600 | \$52,000 | \$97,000 | \$113,000 | 9.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$44,600 | \$53,000 | \$97,000 | \$116,000 | 100.0\% | 5.1\% |
| Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First-Line Supervisors of Mechanics, Installers, and Repairers | \$82,300 | \$88,000 | \$139,000 | \$144,000 | 9.8\% | 0.2\% |
| Maintenance and Repair Workers, General | \$48,300 | \$56,000 | \$105,000 | \$122,000 | 87.5\% | 2.2\% |
| Other Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations | \$51,700 | \$59,000 | \$103,000 | \$117,000 | 2.7\% | 0.1\% |
| Weighted Mean Annual Wage | \$51,700 | \$59,000 | \$108,000 | \$124,000 | 100.0\% | 2.5\% |

[^12]
## APPENDIX B TABLE 17 <br> INDUSTRIES REPRESENTED <br> HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS <br> COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| NAICS | Representative Industries | Percent of Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Page 1 of 3 |  |  |
| Office |  |  |
| 621100 | Offices of Physicians | 8.753\% |
| 551100 | Management of Companies and Enterprises | 8.172\% |
| 541500 | Computer Systems Design and Related Services | 7.418\% |
| 541300 | Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services | 6.495\% |
| 524100 | Insurance Carriers | 6.417\% |
| 524200 | Agencies, Brokerages, and Other Insurance Related Activities | 6.298\% |
| 531000 | Real Estate | 6.201\% |
| 541600 | Management, Scientific, and Technical Consulting Services | 5.850\% |
| 541100 | Legal Services | 4.957\% |
| 621200 | Offices of Dentists | 4.516\% |
| 541700 | Scientific Research and Development Services | 4.240\% |
| 5220A1 | Credit Intermediation and Related Activities (5221 And 5223 only) | 3.781\% |
| 621300 | Offices of Other Health Practitioners | 3.107\% |
| 813900 | Business, Professional, Labor, Political, and Similar Organizations | 3.082\% |
| 541200 | Accounting, Tax Preparation, Bookkeeping, and Payroll Services | 2.796\% |
| 561400 | Business Support Services | 2.546\% |
| 541900 | Other Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | 2.425\% |
| 541800 | Advertising and Related Services | 1.775\% |
| 517000 | Telecommunications | 1.614\% |
| 561100 | Office Administrative Services | 1.580\% |
| 813200 | Grantmaking and Giving Services | 1.464\% |
| 522200 | Nondepository Credit Intermediation | 1.045\% |
| 519100 | Other Information Services | 0.968\% |
| 518200 | Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services | 0.808\% |
| 813300 | Social Advocacy Organizations | 0.788\% |
| 561900 | Other Support Services | 0.760\% |
| 523000 | Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investments and Relatec | 0.759\% |
| 813400 | Civic and Social Organizations | 0.700\% |
| 511200 | Software Publishers | 0.437\% |
| 541400 | Specialized Design Services | 0.250\% |
| Medical |  |  |
| 622100 | General Medical and Surgical Hospitals | 44.848\% |
| 621400 | Outpatient Care Centers | 35.712\% |
| 623100 | Nursing Care Facilities (Skilled Nursing Facilities) | 12.963\% |
| 621900 | Other Ambulatory Health Care Services | 3.999\% |
| 621500 | Medical and Diagnostic Laboratories | 2.479\% |

## APPENDIX B TABLE 17 INDUSTRIES REPRESENTED HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| NAICS | $\underline{\text { Representative Industries }}$ | Percent of Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Page 2 of 3 |  |  |
| Retail / Commercial |  |  |
| 722500 | Restaurant and Other Eating Places | 38.633\% |
| 4450A1 | Food and Beverage Stores (4451 and 4452 only) | 9.922\% |
| 452000 | General Merchandise Stores | 7.452\% |
| 441100 | Automobile Dealers | 4.658\% |
| 713940 | Fitness and Recreational Sports Centers | 4.555\% |
| 444100 | Building Material and Supplies Dealers | 3.476\% |
| 448100 | Clothing Stores | 3.354\% |
| 812100 | Personal Care Services | 3.106\% |
| 446100 | Health and Personal Care Stores | 2.964\% |
| 441300 | Auto Parts, Accessories, and Tire Stores | 2.082\% |
| 447100 | Gasoline Stations | 1.936\% |
| 443100 | Electronics and Appliance Stores | 1.751\% |
| 812300 | Drycleaning and Laundry Services | 1.657\% |
| 4530A1 | Miscellaneous Store Retailers (4532 and 4533 only) | 1.631\% |
| 451100 | Sporting Goods/Musical Instrument Stores | 1.604\% |
| 722300 | Special Food Services | 1.558\% |
| 453900 | Other Miscellaneous Store Retailers | 1.543\% |
| 722400 | Drinking Places (Alcoholic Beverages) | 1.081\% |
| 442200 | Home Furnishings Stores | 0.998\% |
| 532100 | Automotive Equipment Rental and Leasing | 0.943\% |
| 512130 | Motion Picture and Video Exhibition | 0.709\% |
| 812900 | Other Personal Services | 0.665\% |
| 448200 | Shoe Stores | 0.662\% |
| 713940 | Fitness and Recreational Sports Centers | 0.624\% |
| 442100 | Furniture Stores | 0.538\% |
| 441200 | Other Motor Vehicle Dealers | 0.479\% |
| 445300 | Beer, Wine, and Liquor Stores | 0.428\% |
| 448300 | Jewelry, Luggage \& Leather Goods Stores | 0.348\% |
| 444200 | Lawn \& Garden Equipment/Supplies Stores | 0.315\% |
| 451200 | Book, Periodical, and Music Stores | 0.234\% |
| 453100 | Florists | 0.096\% |

## Hotel

## APPENDIX B TABLE 17 INDUSTRIES REPRESENTED HOUSING TRUST FUND NEXUS ANALYSIS COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

| NAICS | $\underline{\text { Representative Industries }}$ | Percent of Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Page 3 of 3 |  |  |
| Industrial / Manufacturing |  |  |
| 811100 | Automotive Repair and Maintenance | 17.038\% |
| 4230A1 | Merchant Wholesalers, Durable Goods (4232, 4233, 4235, 4236, 4237, and 42: | 16.359\% |
| 423400 | Professional and Commercial Equipment and Supplies Merchant Wholesalers | 8.978\% |
| 423800 | Machinery, Equipment, and Supplies Merchant Wholesalers | 5.066\% |
| 312100 | Beverage Manufacturing | 4.244\% |
| 423100 | Motor Vehicle and Motor Vehicle Parts and Supplies Merchant Wholesalers | 4.169\% |
| 311900 | Other Food Manufacturing | 3.846\% |
| 323100 | Printing and Related Support Activities | 3.561\% |
| 311800 | Bakeries and Tortilla Manufacturing | 3.185\% |
| 321900 | Other Wood Product Manufacturing | 3.015\% |
| 322200 | Converted Paper Product Manufacturing | 2.725\% |
| 339100 | Medical Equipment and Supplies Manufacturing | 2.717\% |
| 325400 | Pharmaceutical and Medicine Manufacturing | 2.432\% |
| 327000 | Nonmetallic Mineral Product Manufacturing | 2.307\% |
| 3370A1 | Furniture and Related Product Manufacturing (3371 and 3372 only) | 2.267\% |
| 339900 | Other Miscellaneous Manufacturing | 2.164\% |
| 811300 | Commercial Machinery Repair/Maintenance | 2.101\% |
| 334400 | Semiconductor and Other Electronic Component Manufacturing | 1.784\% |
| 334500 | Navigational, Measuring, Electromedical, and Control Instruments Manufacturin | 1.661\% |
| 332700 | Machine Shops; Turned Product; and Screw, Nut, and Bolt Manufacturing | 1.398\% |
| 811200 | Electronic Equipment Repair/Maintenance | 1.321\% |
| 3330A1 | Machinery Manufacturing (3331, 3332, 3334, and 3339 only) | 1.309\% |
| 336300 | Motor Vehicle Parts Manufacturing | 1.143\% |
| 3250A1 | Chemical Manufacturing (3251, 3252, 3253, and 3259 only) | 1.047\% |
| 335900 | Other Electrical Equipment and Component Manufacturing | 0.944\% |
| 332800 | Coating, Engraving, Heat Treating, and Allied Activities | 0.792\% |
| 333500 | Metalworking Machinery Manufacturing | 0.787\% |
| 3320A1 | Fabricated Metal Product Manufacturing (3321, 3322, 3325, 3326, and 3329 on | 0.710\% |
| 334200 | Communications Equipment Manufacturing | 0.287\% |
| 335100 | Electric Lighting Equipment Manufacturing | 0.266\% |
| 333300 | Commercial and Service Industry Machinery Manufacturing | 0.128\% |
| 336200 | Motor Vehicle Body and Trailer Manufacturing | 0.087\% |
| 331200 | Steel Product Manufacturing from Purchased Steel | 0.085\% |
| 336900 | Other Transportation Equipment Manufacturing | 0.077\% |
| Research and Development |  |  |
| 541710 | Research and Development in the Physical, Engineering, and Life Sciences | 100.000\% |
| Warehouse |  |  |
| 493100 | Warehousing and Storage | 100.000\% |
| Residential Care |  |  |
| 623300 | Continuing Care Retirement Communities and Assisted Living Facilities | 100.000\% |

(1) Employment by industry is weighted to reflect mix of industries Sacramento County using data from the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages for 4th Q 2019.

NAICS = North American Industry Classification System


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Reflects hotels reporting full time equivalent employment levels as part of the Sacramento Business Journal Survey and includes Hyatt Sacramento, Sheraton Grand Hotel Sacramento, Holiday Inn Downtown Sacramento Arena, Hilton Sacramento Arden West, Residence Inn Sacramento Downtown at Capitol Park Crowne Plaza Sacramento, Citizen Hotel, Residence Inn Sacramento Cal Expo, Hyatt Place Sacramento/Roseville, Courtyard by Marriott Sacramento Airport Natomas, Courtyard by Marriott Rancho Cordova, Hyatt Place Sacramento/Rancho Cordova.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ June 2020 was selected as the most recent monthly data available at the time this report was prepared while March 2010 was selected as the point of comparison based on having a very similar unemployment rate ( $12.7 \%$ compared to $12.8 \%$ ), which enables longer-term declines to be distinguished from the effects of shorter-term economic cycles.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Source data does not allow a breakout between full and part time workers; however, for purposes of compensation levels, full time work is assumed for all workers as described in Step 5.

[^3]:    Notes:
    (1) Appendix Tables 1 through 16 contain additional information on worker occupation categories, compensation levels and estimated household incomes

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ For purposes of calculating the average bedroom size, studios are treated as having zero bedrooms.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The methodology utilized by the California Employment Development Department (EDD) assumes hourly paid employees are employed full-time. EDD data is adjusted by KMA to reflect Sacramento County minimum wage. Annual compensation is calculated by EDD by multiplying hourly wages by 40 hours per work week by 52 weeks.
    ${ }^{2}$ Occupation percentages are based on the 2019 National Industry - Specific Occupational Employment survey compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wages are based on Occupational Employment Survey data applicable to Sacramento County as of 2020 and are adjusted by EDD to the first quarter of 2021.
    ${ }^{3}$ Including occupations representing $2 \%$ or more of the major occupation group.
    ${ }^{4}$ Household income estimated based average worker compensation and ratios between employee income and household income identified in Table 3-6.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ The methodology utilized by the California Employment Development Department (EDD) assumes hourly paid employees are employed full-time. EDD data is adjusted by KMA to reflect Sacramento County minimum wage. Annual compensation is calculated by EDD by multiplying hourly wages by 40 hours per work week by
    ${ }^{2}$ Occupation percentages are based on the 2019 National Industry - Specific Occupational Employment survey compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wages are based on Occupational Employment Survey data applicable to Sacramento County as of 2020 and are adjusted by EDD to the first quarter of 2021.
    ${ }^{3}$ Including occupations representing $2 \%$ or more of the major occupation group.
    ${ }^{4}$ Household income estimated based average worker compensation and ratios between employee income and household income identified in Table 3-6.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The methodology utilized by the California Employment Development Department (EDD) assumes hourly paid employees are employed full-time. EDD data is adjusted by KMA to reflect Sacramento County minimum wage. Annual compensation is calculated by EDD by multiplying hourly wages by 40 hours per work week by 52 weeks.
    2 Occupation percentages are based on the 2019 National Industry - Specific Occupational Employment survey compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wages are based on Occupational Employment Survey data applicable to Sacramento County as of 2020 and are adjusted by EDD to the first quarter of 2021.
    ${ }^{3}$ Including occupations representing $2 \%$ or more of the major occupation group.
    ${ }^{4}$ Household income estimated based average worker compensation and ratios between employee income and household income identified in Table 3-6.

[^8]:     KMA to reflect Sacramento County minimum wage. Annual compensation is calculated by EDD by multiplying hourly wages by 40 hours per work week by 52 weeks.
    ${ }^{2}$ Occupation percentages are based on the 2019 National Industry - Specific Occupational Employment survey compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wages are based on Occupational Employment Survey data applicable to Sacramento County as of 2020 and are adjusted by EDD to the first quarter of 2021.
    ${ }^{3}$ Including occupations representing 2\% or more of the major occupation group.
    4 Household income estimated based average worker compensation and ratios between employee income and household income identified in Table 3-6.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ The methodology utilized by the California Employment Development Department (EDD) assumes hourly paid employees are employed full-time. EDD data is adjusted by KMA to reflect Sacramento County minimum wage. Annual compensation is calculated by EDD by multiplying hourly wages by 40 hours per work week by 52 weeks.
    ${ }^{2}$ Occupation percentages are based on the 2019 National Industry - Specific Occupational Employment survey compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wages are based on Occupational Employment Survey data applicable to Sacramento County as of 2020 and are adjusted by EDD to the first quarter of 2021.
    ${ }^{3}$ Including occupations representing $2 \%$ or more of the major occupation group.
    ${ }^{4}$ Household income estimated based average worker compensation and ratios between employee income and household income identified in Table 3-6.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ The methodology utilized by the California Employment Development Department (EDD) assumes hourly paid employees are employed full-time. EDD data is adjusted by KMA to reflect Sacramento County minimum wage. Annual compensation is calculated by EDD by multiplying hourly wages by 40 hours per work week by 52 weeks.
    ${ }^{2}$ Occupation percentages are based on the 2019 National Industry - Specific Occupational Employment survey compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wages are based on Occupational Employment Survey data applicable to Sacramento County as of 2020 and are adjusted by EDD to the first quarter of 2021.
    ${ }^{3}$ Including occupations representing $2 \%$ or more of the major occupation group.
    ${ }^{4}$ Household income estimated based average worker compensation and ratios between employee income and household income identified in Table 3-6.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ The methodology utilized by the California Employment Development Department (EDD) assumes hourly paid employees are employed full-time. EDD data is adjusted by KMA to reflect Sacramento County minimum wage. Annual compensation is calculated by EDD by multiplying hourly wages by 40 hours per work week by 52 weeks.
    ${ }^{2}$ Occupation percentages are based on the 2019 National Industry - Specific Occupational Employment survey compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wages are based on Occupational Employment Survey data applicable to Sacramento County as of 2020 and are adjusted by EDD to the first quarter of 2021.
    ${ }^{3}$ Including occupations representing $2 \%$ or more of the major occupation group.
    ${ }^{4}$ Household income estimated based average worker compensation and ratios between employee income and household income identified in Table 3-6.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ The methodology utilized by the California Employment Development Department (EDD) assumes hourly paid employees are employed full-time. EDD data is adjusted by KMA to reflect Sacramento County minimum wage. Annual compensation is calculated by EDD by multiplying hourly wages by 40 hours per work week by 52 weeks.
    ${ }^{2}$ Occupation percentages are based on the 2019 National Industry - Specific Occupational Employment survey compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wages are based on Occupational Employment Survey data applicable to Sacramento County as of 2020 and are adjusted by EDD to the first quarter of 2021.
    ${ }^{3}$ Including occupations representing $2 \%$ or more of the major occupation group.
    ${ }^{4}$ Household income estimated based average worker compensation and ratios between employee income and household income identified in Table 3-6.

